



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

With the European elections approaching, CAN Europe wanted to provide people with some background information on how Members of the European Parliament (MEPs) and political parties represented in the European Parliament - both national and Europe-wide - have supported or rejected climate and energy policy development in the last five years. With this information in hand, European citizens now have the opportunity to act on their desire for increased climate action in the upcoming election by voting for MEPs who supported stronger climate policies and are running for re-election or by casting their votes for the most supportive parties. CAN Europe's European Parliament scorecards provide a ranking of both political parties and individual MEPs based on ten crucial climate and energy votes that took place during the 2009-2014 parliamentary term.

The votes in question cover proposals to improve the EU's Emissions Trading Scheme, the Mechanism for Monitoring and Reporting emissions, the 2030 renewable energy and energy savings targets, the 2020 greenhouse gas emission reductions target, the 2030 greenhouse gas emission reduction target, renewable energy policies, energy savings policies, the European support to the international climate negotiations, the integration of climate change in EU development policies and provision of international climate finance.

The scores were based on the votes of all MEPs on these ten issues. For each vote, MEPs were either given a point for voting positively (i.e. either 'for' or 'against', depending on if the text furthered or hindered the development of climate and energy policies) or no points for any of the other voting behaviours (i.e. 'against', 'abstain', 'absent', 'didn't vote'). Overall scores were assigned to each MEP by averaging out their points. The same was done for the European Parliament's political groups and all national political parties represented at the European Parliament, based on the points of their respective MEPs. Finally, scores were grouped into four bands that we named for ease of use: very good (75-100%), good (50-74%), bad (25-49%) and very bad (0-24%).

More information on the choice of votes and details of each vote (e.g. content, context, references) can be found in the Scorecards Background Document.

To view and download the scorecards and other documents, visit:

www.caneurope.org/VoteForClimateAction

CONTENTS

Austria	•	•	•	. 2
Belgium				. 3
Bulgaria				. 4
Cyprus				. 5
Czech Republ	lic			. 6
Denmark				. 7
Estonia				. 8
Finland				. 9
France				10
Germany				12
Greece				14
Hungary				15
Ireland				16
Italy				17
Latvia				19
Lithuania				20
Luxembourg.				21
Malta				22
Netherlands.				23
Poland				24
Portugal				25
Romania				26
Slovakia				27
Slovenia				28
Spain				29
Sweden				31
United Kingd				32
Political Grou				34
	•			

CAN Europe gratefully acknowledges support from the European Commission. The contents of this publication are the sole responsibility of CAN Europe and can in no way be taken to reflect the views of the European Union.

AUSTRIA =





RANKING OF NATIONAL POLITICAL PARTIES

based on 2009-2014 voting records on climate and energy policies

VERY GOOD



Die Grünen - Die **Grüne Alternative** (GRÜNE) Greens/EFA



Sozialdemokratische Partei Österreichs (SPÖ) S&D



Liste "Dr. Martin - für Demokratie, Kontrolle, Gerechtigkeit" (MARTIN) NM

1 🛉

GOOD



Österreichische Volkspartei (ÖVP) EPP 6 🛊

VERY BAD



Freiheitliche Partei Österreichs (FPÖ) NM



Bündnis Zukunft Österreich (BZÖ) NM 1 🛉

RANKING OF PARLIAMENTARIANS

VERY GOOD

100% Eva LICHTENBERGER (GRÜNE) 100% Ulrike LUNACEK (GRÜNE) Angelika WERTHMANN (-) 90% 90% Evelyn REGNER (SPÖ) 90% Karin KADENBACH (SPÖ) Martin EHRENHAUSER (-) 90% Josef WEIDENHOLZER* (SPÖ) Hans-Peter MARTIN (MARTIN) Jörg LEICHTFRIED (SPÖ) 80% ■ Heinz K. BECKER* (ÖVP) 78%

GOOD

Elisabeth KÖSTINGER (ÖVP) 70% ■ Hannes SWOBODA (SPÖ) 70% Othmar KARAS (ÖVP) ■ Richard SEEBER (ÖVP) 70% ■ Hubert PIRKER* (ÖVP) 67% Paul RÜBIG (ÖVP) 50%

VERY BAD

Franz OBERMAYR (FPÖ) 20% ■ Ewald STADLER* (BZÖ) 10% Andreas MÖLZER (FPÖ) ■ Ernst STRASSER* (ÖVP) 0% ■ Hella RANNER* (ÖVP)

ABOUT

Regardless of their words and promises, it is the voting behavior of Members of the European Parliament that really shows what they and their national political parties are actually supporting. As we approach the 2014 European Parliamentary elections, Climate Action Network Europe provides you with this analysis on how political parties and their parliamentarians have voted in the last five years. The scorecard helps in understanding who has supported more adequate climate action in Europe.

The scorecards reflect how European parliamentarians have voted on ten climate and energy issues during the 2009-2014 parliamentary term.** Parliamentarians were given a point when voting in favor of more ambitious climate and energy policies, or no point if they didn't (including cases where they did not vote).

The scores of all parliamentarians were allocated to the different national political parties they represent.

For ease of use, all scores were labeled according to the amount of positive votes: very good (75-100%), good (50-74%), bad (25-49%), and very bad (**0**-24%).

For more detailed information, please consult our full Scorecards Back-Document and Scorecards ground Analysis at www.caneurope.org/ **VoteForClimateAction**

- * This person has not been an MEP for the complete 2009-2014 term.
- ** The votes cover the EU's Emissions Trading Scheme, the 2020 and 2030 greenhouse gas emission reduction targets, renewable energy policies, energy efficiency policies, the international climate negotiations, climate in development policies, international climate finance and the Mechanism for Monitoring and Reporting.

EUROPEAN POLITICAL GROUP MEMBERSHIP

ALDE Alliance of Liberals and Democrats for Europe ECR European Conservatives and Reformists EFD Europe of Freedom and

Democracy

EPP European

People's Party

Free Alliance GUE/NGL European United Left - Nordic Green Left NM Non-attached Members S&D Progressive Alliance of Socialists and Democrats

Greens/EFA

Greens/European

BELGIUM **II**



RANKING OF NATIONAL POLITICAL PARTIES

based on 2009-2014 voting records on climate and energy policies



VERY GOOD

Socialistische
Partij.Anders (SP.A) S&D
2 •



Groen

(GROEN) Greens/EFA

1 🛉

ECOLO

(ECOLO) Greens/EFA



Parti Socialiste (PS) S&D

80%

Nieuw-Vlaamse Alliantie (N-VA) Greens/EFA 1 †



GOOD

Centre Démocrate Humaniste (CDH) EPP

1 ₦



Open Vlaamse Liberalen en Democraten (OPEN VLD) ALDE

3 🛊



Mouvement Réformateur (MR) ALDE

2 🛊

EUROPEAN POLITICAL GROUP MEMBERSHIP

ALDE Alliance
of Liberals and
Democrats for Europe
ECR European
Conservatives and
Reformists
EFD Europe of

Freedom and
Democracy

EPP European People's Party Greens/EFA

Greens/European Free Alliance

GUE/NGL European United Left – Nordic Green Left

NM Non-attached Members

S&D Progressive
Alliance of Socialists
and Democrats

60%

Christlich Soziale Partei (CSP) EPP

×

1 🛉



Christen-Democratisch & Vlaams (CD&V) EPP 3 †

BAD



Lijst Dedecker (DEDECKER) ECR 1 †

- "

VERY BAD



Vlaams Belang (VB) NM 1 †

RANKING OF PARLIAMENTARIANS

VERY GOOD

100% Bart STAES (GROEN)
100% Dirk STERCKX* (OPEN VLD)
100% Kathleen VAN BREMPT (SP.A)
100% Saïd EL KHADRAOUI (SP.A)

90% Marc TARABELLA* (PS)

90% Philippe LAMBERTS (ECOLO)
83% Mark DEMESMAEKER* (NV-A)

80% Frédéric DAERDEN (PS)

80% Guy VERHOFSTADT (OPEN VLD)80% Isabelle DURANT (ECOLO)

80% Véronique DE KEYSER (PS) **75%** Frieda BREPOELS* (NV-A)

GOOD

70% Anne DELVAUX (CDH)
70% Ivo BELET (CD&V)

70% Louis MICHEL (MR)

67% Philippe DE BACKER* (OPEN VLD)

60% Frédérique RIES (MR)
60% Marianne THYSSEN (CD&V)

60% Mathieu GROSCH (CSP)

50% Annemie NEYTS-UYTTEBROECK
(OPEN VLD)

BAD

30% Derk Jan EPPINK (DEDECKER)

ABOUT

 \checkmark

X

× V

Regardless of their words and promises, it is the voting behavior of Members of the European Parliament that really shows what they and their national political parties are actually supporting. As we approach the 2014 European Parliamentary elections, Climate Action Network Europe provides you with this analysis on how political parties and their parliamentarians have voted in the last five years. The scorecard helps in understanding who has supported more adequate climate action in Europe.

The scorecards reflect how European parliamentarians have voted on ten climate and energy issues during the 2009-2014 parliamentary term.** Parliamentarians were given a point when voting in favor of more ambitious climate and energy policies, or no point if they didn't (including cases where they did not vote).

The scores of all parliamentarians were allocated to the different national political parties they represent.

For ease of use, all scores were labeled according to the amount of positive votes: very good (■ 75-100%), good (■ 50-74%), bad (■ 25-49%), and very bad (■ 0-24%).

For more detailed information, please consult our full Scorecards Background Document and Scorecards Analysis at www.caneurope.org/VoteForClimateAction

- * This person has not been an MEP for the complete 2009-2014 term.
- *** The votes cover the EU's Emissions Trading Scheme, the 2020 and 2030 greenhouse gas emission reduction targets, renewable energy policies, energy efficiency policies, the international climate negotiations, climate in development policies, international climate finance and the Mechanism for Monitoring and Reporting.

VERY BAD

20% Frank VANHECKE (−)
20% Jean-Luc DEHAENE (CD&V)
0% Philip CLAEYS (VB)

Jean-Claude MARCOURT* (PS)

BULGARIA =



×





RANKING OF NATIONAL POLITICAL PARTIES

based on 2009-2014 voting records on climate and energy policies

GOOD



Koalitsia za Balgariya (KB) S&D

4 🛊



Nacionalno Dviženie za Stabilnost i Văzhod (NDSV) ALDE



Dvizhenie za Prava i Svobodi (DPS) ALDE

3 🛊

BAD



Grazhdani za Evropeysko Razvitie na Balgariya (GERB) EPP



People for Real, Open and United Democracy (PROUD) EFD

1 🛉



Sinyata koalitsia (SK) EPP 2 🛊

VERY BAD



Nacionalna-Demokraticheska Partija (NDP) NM

1 🛉

RANKING OF PARLIAMENTARIANS

VERY GOOD

Evgeni KIRILOV (KB) 80% Iliana IVANOVA* (GERB) 75% Marusya LYUBCHEVA* (KB) 75%

GOOD

Antonyia PARVANOVA (NDSV) 70% Iliana Malinova IOTOVA (KB)

70% Ivailo KALFIN (KB) 70%

Vladko Todorov PANAYOTOV (DPS) 70%

Kristian VIGENIN* (KB) 67% Metin KAZAK (DPS)

60%

60% Stanimir ILCHEV (NDSV)

Andrey KOVATCHEV* (GERB) 50%

50% ■ Emil STOYANOV* (GERB)

Filiz Hakaeva HYUSMENOVA (DPS)

Monika PANAYOTOVA* (GERB) 50%

Vladimir URUTCHEV (GERB) 50%

BAD

Slavi BINEV (PROUD) 40%

Svetoslav Hristov MALINOV* (SK) 38%

Mariya GABRIEL (GERB)

30% Nadezhda NEYNSKY (SK)

VERY BAD

Dimitar STOYANOV (NDP)

Preslav BORISSOV* (GERB) 0%

Rumiana JELEVA* (GERB)

ABOUT

V

X

Regardless of their words and promises, it is the voting behavior of Members of the European Parliament that really shows what they and their national political parties are actually supporting. As we approach the 2014 European Parliamentary elections, Climate Action Network Europe provides you with this analysis on how political parties and their parliamentarians have voted in the last five years. The scorecard helps in understanding who has supported more adequate climate action in Europe.

The scorecards reflect how European parliamentarians have voted on ten climate and energy issues during the 2009-2014 parliamentary term.** Parliamentarians were given a point when voting in favor of more ambitious climate and energy policies, or no point if they didn't (including cases where they did not vote).

The scores of all parliamentarians were allocated to the different national political parties they represent.

For ease of use, all scores were labeled according to the amount of positive votes: very good (75-100%), good (50-74%), bad (25-49%), and very bad (**0**-24%).

For more detailed information, please consult our full Scorecards Back-Document and Scorecards ground Analysis at www.caneurope.org/ **VoteForClimateAction**

- * This person has not been an MEP for the complete 2009-2014 term.
- ** The votes cover the EU's Emissions Trading Scheme, the 2020 and 2030 greenhouse gas emission reduction targets, renewable energy policies, energy efficiency policies, the international climate negotiations, climate in development policies, international climate finance and the Mechanism for Monitoring and Reporting.

EUROPEAN POLITICAL GROUP MEMBERSHIP

ALDE Alliance of Liberals and Democrats for Europe

ECR European Conservatives and Reformists

EFD Europe of Freedom and Democracy

EPP European People's Party

Greens/EFA

Greens/European Free Alliance

GUE/NGL European United Left - Nordic Green Left

NM Non-attached Members



RANKING OF NATIONAL POLITICAL PARTIES

based on 2009-2014 voting records on climate and energy policies

VERY GOOD



EDEK Kinima Socialdimokraton (EDEK) S&D

1 🛉



Dimokratikó Kómma (DK) S&D 1 †

GOOD



Anorthotiko Komma Ergazomenou Laou (AKEL) GUE/NGL 2 †

BAD



Dimokratikos sinagermos (DISY) EPP 2 †

RANKING OF PARLIAMENTARIANS

VERY GOOD

100% Kyriakos MAVRONIKOLAS* (EDEK)
100% Sophocles SOPHOCLEOUS* (EDEK)
80% Antigoni PAPADOPOULOU (DK)
75% Ioannis KASOULIDES* (DISY)

×

GOOD

50% Eleni THEOCHAROUS (DISY)
50% Kyriacos TRIANTAPHYLLIDES (AKEL)
50% Takis HADJIGEORGIOU (AKEL)

VERY BAD

17% Andreas PITSILLIDES* (DISY)

ABOUT

 \checkmark

Regardless of their words and promises, it is the voting behavior of Members of the European Parliament that really shows what they and their national political parties are actually supporting. As we approach the 2014 European Parliamentary elections, Climate Action Network Europe provides you with this analysis on how political parties and their parliamentarians have voted in the last five years. The scorecard helps in understanding who has supported more adequate climate action in Europe.

The scorecards reflect how European parliamentarians have voted on ten climate and energy issues during the 2009-2014 parliamentary term.** Parliamentarians were given a point when voting in favor of more ambitious climate and energy policies, or no point if they didn't (including cases where they did not vote).

The scores of all parliamentarians were allocated to the different national political parties they represent.

For ease of use, all scores were labeled according to the amount of positive votes: very good (■ 75-100%), good (■ 50-74%), bad (■ 25-49%), and very bad (■ 0-24%).

For more detailed information, please consult our full Scorecards Background Document and Scorecards Analysis at www.caneurope.org/VoteForClimateAction

- * This person has not been an MEP for the complete 2009-2014 term.
- The votes cover the EU's Emissions Trading Scheme, the 2020 and 2030 greenhouse gas emission reduction targets, renewable energy policies, energy efficiency policies, the international climate negotiations, climate in development policies, international climate finance and the Mechanism for Monitoring and Reporting.

EUROPEAN POLITICAL GROUP MEMBERSHIP

ALDE Alliance
of Liberals and
Democrats for Europe
ECR European
Conservatives and
Reformists

Reformists

EFD Europe of

Freedom and

Democracy

EPP European

People's Party

Greens/EFA
Greens/European
Free Alliance
GUE/NGL European
United Left – Nordic
Green Left

NM Non-attached Members

Ranking European Parliamentarians on Climate Action X CZECH REPUBLIC -× V



RANKING OF NATIONAL POLITICAL PARTIES

based on 2009-2014 voting records on climate and energy policies

VERY GOOD



Česká strana sociálně demokratická (ČSSD) S&D 7 🛊

GOOD



Komunistická strana Čech a Moravy (KSČM) GUE/NGL 4 🛊

BAD



Křesťanská a demokratická unie -Československá strana lidová (KDU-ČSL) EPP

1 🛉



TOP 09 (TOP 09) EPP 1 🛉

VFRY BAD



Občanská demokratická strana (ODS) ECR

RANKING OF PARLIAMENTARIANS

VERY GOOD

×

100% Zuzana BRZOBOHATÁ (ČSSD) Olga SEHNALOVÁ (ČSSD) 90% Libor ROUČEK (ČSSD) 80% 80% Pavel POC (ČSSD) 80% Richard FALBR (ČSSD) Robert DUŠEK (ČSSD) 80%

GOOD

Vojtěch MYNÁŘ* (ČSSD) 71% Miloslav RANSDORF (KSČM) 70% Vladimír REMEK* (KSČM) Jiří MAŠTÁLKA (KSČM) 50%

Jaromír KOHLÍČEK (KSČM)

BAD

Zuzana ROITHOVÁ (KDU-ČSL) 40% Jiří HAVEL* (ČSSD) 33% Andrea ČEŠKOVÁ (ODS) 30% 30% Edvard KOŽUŠNÍK (ODS) Evžen TOŠENOVSKÝ (ODS) 30% Jan BŘEZINA (TOP 09) 30% Jan ZAHRADIL (ODS)

VERY BAD

Milan CABRNOCH (ODS)

30%

20% Hynek FAJMON (ODS) Miroslav OUZKÝ (ODS) 20% Oldřich VLASÁK (ODS) 20% Ivo STREJČEK (ODS) Věra FLASAROVÁ* (KSČM)

ABOUT

V

Regardless of their words and promises, it is the voting behavior of Members of the European Parliament that really shows what they and their national political parties are actually supporting. As we approach the 2014 European Parliamentary elections, Climate Action Network Europe provides you with this analysis on how political parties and their parliamentarians have voted in the last five years. The scorecard helps in understanding who has supported more adequate climate action in Europe.

The scorecards reflect how European parliamentarians have voted on ten climate and energy issues during the 2009-2014 parliamentary term.** Parliamentarians were given a point when voting in favor of more ambitious climate and energy policies, or no point if they didn't (including cases where they did not vote).

The scores of all parliamentarians were allocated to the different national political parties they represent.

For ease of use, all scores were labeled according to the amount of positive votes: very good (75-100%), good (50-74%), bad (25-49%), and very bad (**0**-24%).

For more detailed information, please consult our full Scorecards Back-Document and Scorecards ground Analysis at www.caneurope.org/ **VoteForClimateAction**

- * This person has not been an MEP for the complete 2009-2014 term.
- ** The votes cover the EU's Emissions Trading Scheme, the 2020 and 2030 greenhouse gas emission reduction targets, renewable energy policies, energy efficiency policies, the international climate negotiations, climate in development policies, international climate finance and the Mechanism for Monitoring and Reporting.

EUROPEAN POLITICAL GROUP MEMBERSHIP

of Liberals and Democrats for Europe ECR European Conservatives and Reformists EFD Europe of Freedom and Democracy

EPP European

People's Party

ALDE Alliance

Greens/EFA Greens/European

Free Alliance

GUE/NGL European United Left - Nordic Green Left

NM Non-attached Members

DENMARK #



×



RANKING OF NATIONAL POLITICAL PARTIES

based on 2009-2014 voting records on climate and energy policies

VERY GOOD



Socialistisk Folkeparti (SF) Greens/EFA

1 🛊



Socialdemokratiet (SD) S&D 5 🛊

GOOD



Det Konservative Folkeparti (KF) EPP



Folkebevægelsen mod EU (FEU) GUE/NGL 1 🛊



Venstre, Danmarks Liberale Parti (VDLP) ALDE 3 🛊

VERY BAD



Dansk Folkeparti (DF) EFD 1 🛉

RANKING OF PARLIAMENTARIANS

VERY GOOD

100% Britta THOMSEN (SD) 100% Christel SCHALDEMOSE (SD) 100% Claus LARSEN-JENSEN* (SD) 100% Margrete AUKEN (SF) 100% Rina Ronja KARI* (FEU) Ole CHRISTENSEN (SD) **Emilie TURUNEN** (SD)

■ Dan JØRGENSEN* (SD)

GOOD

Anne E. JENSEN (VDLP) 70% Bendt BENDTSEN (KF) 70% Søren Bo SØNDERGAARD* (FEU) 67% 60% Jens ROHDE (VDLP)

Anna ROSBACH (–) Morten LØKKEGAARD (VDLP)

VERY BAD

Morten MESSERSCHMIDT (DF)

ABOUT

 \checkmark

X

Regardless of their words and promises, it is the voting behavior of Members of the European Parliament that really shows what they and their national political parties are actually supporting. As we approach the 2014 European Parliamentary elections, Climate Action Network Europe provides you with this analysis on how political parties and their parliamentarians have voted in the last five years. The scorecard helps in understanding who has supported more adequate climate action in Europe.

The scorecards reflect how European parliamentarians have voted on ten climate and energy issues during the 2009-2014 parliamentary term.** Parliamentarians were given a point when voting in favor of more ambitious climate and energy policies, or no point if they didn't (including cases where they did not vote).

The scores of all parliamentarians were allocated to the different national political parties they represent.

For ease of use, all scores were labeled according to the amount of positive votes: very good (75-100%), good (50-74%), bad (25-49%), and very bad (**0**-24%).

For more detailed information, please consult our full Scorecards Back-Document and Scorecards ground Analysis at www.caneurope.org/ **VoteForClimateAction**

- * This person has not been an MEP for the complete 2009-2014 term.
- ** The votes cover the EU's Emissions Trading Scheme, the 2020 and 2030 greenhouse gas emission reduction targets, renewable energy policies, energy efficiency policies, the international climate negotiations, climate in development policies, international climate finance and the Mechanism for Monitoring and Reporting.

EUROPEAN POLITICAL GROUP MEMBERSHIP

ALDE Alliance of Liberals and Democrats for Europe ECR European Conservatives and Reformists

EFD Europe of Freedom and Democracy

EPP European People's Party

Greens/EFA Greens/European Free Alliance

GUE/NGL European United Left - Nordic Green Left

NM Non-attached Members



RANKING OF NATIONAL POLITICAL PARTIES

based on 2009-2014 voting records on climate and energy policies

VERY GOOD



Sotsiaaldemokraatlik Erakond (SDE) S&D

1 🛉

GOOD



Eesti Reformierakond (ER) ALDE 1 †



Erakond Isamaa ja Res Publica Liit (EIRPL) EPP

RANKING OF PARLIAMENTARIANS

VERY GOOD

×

100% Indrek TARAND
100% Ivari PADAR (SDE)
80% Kristiina OJULAND
80% Siiri OVIIR

_ GOOD

70% Vilja SAVISAAR-TOOMAST (ER)60% Tunne KELAM (EIRPL)

ABOUT

 \checkmark

Regardless of their words and promises, it is the voting behavior of Members of the European Parliament that really shows what they and their national political parties are actually supporting. As we approach the 2014 European Parliamentary elections, Climate Action Network Europe provides you with this analysis on how political parties and their parliamentarians have voted in the last five years. The scorecard helps in understanding who has supported more adequate climate action in Europe.

The scorecards reflect how European parliamentarians have voted on ten climate and energy issues during the 2009-2014 parliamentary term.** Parliamentarians were given a point when voting in favor of more ambitious climate and energy policies, or no point if they didn't (including cases where they did not vote).

The scores of all parliamentarians were allocated to the different national political parties they represent.

For ease of use, all scores were labeled according to the amount of positive votes: very good (■ 75-100%), good (■ 50-74%), bad (■ 25-49%), and very bad (■ 0-24%).

For more detailed information, please consult our full Scorecards Background Document and Scorecards Analysis at www.caneurope.org/VoteForClimateAction

- * This person has not been an MEP for the complete 2009-2014 term.
- *** The votes cover the EU's Emissions Trading Scheme, the 2020 and 2030 greenhouse gas emission reduction targets, renewable energy policies, energy efficiency policies, the international climate negotiations, climate in development policies, international climate finance and the Mechanism for Monitoring and Reporting.

EUROPEAN POLITICAL GROUP MEMBERSHIP

ALDE Alliance
of Liberals and
Democrats for Europe
ECR European
Conservatives and
Reformists
EFD Europe of
Freedom and
Democracy

EPP European

People's Party

Greens/EFA
Greens/European
Free Alliance
GUE/NGL European
United Left – Nordic
Green Left
NM Non-attached
Members
S&D Progressive
Alliance of Socialists
and Democrats



RANKING OF NATIONAL POLITICAL PARTIES

based on 2009-2014 voting records on climate and energy policies

VERY GOOD



Suomen Sosialidemokraattinen Puolue/Finlands Socialdemokratiska Parti (SSP/FSP) S&D



Svenska folkpartiet (SF) ALDE 1 †



Vihreä liitto (VL) Greens/EFA 2 †

GOOD



Suomen Keskusta (SK) ALDE 3 †



Kansallinen Kokoomus (KK) EPP 3 †



Suomen kristillisdemokraatit (SKD) EPP 1 †

VERY BAD



ALDE Alliance

Perussuomalaiset (PS) 1 †

Greens/EFA

EUROPEAN POLITICAL GROUP MEMBERSHIP

of Liberals and
Democrats for Europe
ECR European
Conservatives and
Reformists
EFD Europe of
Freedom and
Democracy

EPP European

People's Party

Greens/European
Free Alliance
GUE/NGL European
United Left – Nordic
Green Left
NM Non-attached

Members
S&D Progressive
Alliance of Socialists

and Democrats

RANKING OF PARLIAMENTARIANS

VERY GOOD

×

100% ■ Heidi HAUTALA* (VL)
100% ■ Liisa JAAKONSAARI (SSP/FSP)
100% ■ Satu HASSI (VL)
100% ■ Ville ITÄLÄ* (KK)
90% ■ Sirpa PIETIKÄINEN (KK)
86% ■ Nils TORVALDS* (SF)

Mitro REPO (SSP/FSP)

GOOD

70% Anneli JÄÄTTEENMÄKI (SK)
67% Carl HAGLUND* (SF)
56% Tarja CRONBERG* (VL)
50% Hannu TAKKULA (SK)
50% Sari ESSAYAH (SKD)

BAD

38% Petri SARVAMAA* (KK)
25% Riikka PAKARINEN (SK)

VERY BAD

22% Sampo TERHO* (PS)
20% Eija-Riitta KORHOLA (KK)

0% Timo SOINI* (PS)

ABOUT

 \checkmark

Regardless of their words and promises, it is the voting behavior of Members of the European Parliament that really shows what they and their national political parties are actually supporting. As we approach the 2014 European Parliamentary elections, Climate Action Network Europe provides you with this analysis on how political parties and their parliamentarians have voted in the last five years. The scorecard helps in understanding who has supported more adequate climate action in Europe.

The scorecards reflect how European parliamentarians have voted on ten climate and energy issues during the 2009-2014 parliamentary term.** Parliamentarians were given a point when voting in favor of more ambitious climate and energy policies, or no point if they didn't (including cases where they did not vote).

The scores of all parliamentarians were allocated to the different national political parties they represent.

For ease of use, all scores were labeled according to the amount of positive votes: very good (■ 75-100%), good (■ 50-74%), bad (■ 25-49%), and very bad (■ 0-24%).

For more detailed information, please consult our full Scorecards Background Document and Scorecards Analysis at www.caneurope.org/VoteForClimateAction

- * This person has not been an MEP for the complete 2009-2014 term.
- ** The votes cover the EU's Emissions Trading Scheme, the 2020 and 2030 greenhouse gas emission reduction targets, renewable energy policies, energy efficiency policies, the international climate negotiations, climate in development policies, international climate finance and the Mechanism for Monitoring and Reporting.

FRANCE TI





RANKING OF NATIONAL POLITICAL PARTIES

based on 2009-2014 voting records on climate and energy policies

VERY GOOD



Partitu di a Nazione Corsa (PNC) Greens/EFA



Europe Écologie (EÉ) Greens/EFA 15 †



Parti socialiste (PS) S&D



Mouvement Démocrate (MoDem) ALDE 5 †



Parti Radical (PR) EPP 2 #

GOOD



Citoyenneté Action Participation pour le 21ème siècle (CAP21) ALDE



Liste "Alliance des Outre-Mers" (AOM) GUE/NGL 1 †

EUROPEAN POLITICAL GROUP MEMBERSHIP

of Liberals and
Democrats for Europe
ECR European
Conservatives and
Reformists

ALDE Alliance

EFD Europe of Freedom and Democracy

EPP European People's Party Greens/EFA

Greens/European Free Alliance

GUE/NGL European United Left – Nordic Green Left

NM Non-attached Members

S&D Progressive
Alliance of Socialists
and Democrats

70%

Union des Démocrates et Indépendants (UDI) EPP 3 †



Nouveau Centre (NC) EPP 1 #



Front de gauche pour changer d'Europe (FG) GUE/NGL 4 †

BAD



Union pour un Mouvement Populaire (UMP) EPP

VERY BAD



Front national (FN) NM 3 †



Mouvement pour la France (MPF) EFD 1 †

RANKING OF PARLIAMENTARIANS

VERY GOOD

100% Catherine SOULLIE* (UMP)
100% Catherine TRAUTMANN (PS)
100% Christophe BÉCHU* (UMP)
100% Dominique BAUDIS* (UMP)
100% Eric ANDRIEU* (PS)
100% François ALFONSI (PNC)
100% Françoise CASTEX (PS)
100% Isabelle THOMAS* (PS)
100% Kader ARIF* (PS)
100% Liem HOANG NGOC (PS)
100% Michel BARNIER* (UMP)
100% Michèle RIVASI (EÉ)

100% Nicole KIIL-NIELSEN (EÉ)

100% Pascale GRUNY* (UMP)

ABOUT

Regardless of their words and promises, it is the voting behavior of Members of the European Parliament that really shows what they and their national political parties are actually supporting. As we approach the 2014 European Parliamentary elections, Climate Action Network Europe provides you with this analysis on how political parties and their parliamentarians have voted in the last five years. The scorecard helps in understanding who has supported more adequate climate action in Europe.

The scorecards reflect how European parliamentarians have voted on ten climate and energy issues during the 2009-2014 parliamentary term.** Parliamentarians were given a point when voting in favor of more ambitious climate and energy policies, or no point if they didn't (including cases where they did not vote).

The scores of all parliamentarians were allocated to the different national political parties they represent.

For ease of use, all scores were labeled according to the amount of positive votes: very good (■ 75-100%), good (■ 50-74%), bad (■ 25-49%), and very bad (■ 0-24%).

For more detailed information, please consult our full Scorecards Background Document and Scorecards Analysis at www.caneurope.org/VoteForClimateAction

- * This person has not been an MEP for the complete 2009-2014 term.
- *** The votes cover the EU's Emissions Trading Scheme, the 2020 and 2030 greenhouse gas emission reduction targets, renewable energy policies, energy efficiency policies, the international climate negotiations, climate in development policies, international climate finance and the Mechanism for Monitoring and Reporting.

100% Sandrine BÉLIER (EÉ)
90% Bernadette VERGNAUD (PS)
90% Catherine GRÈZE (EÉ)
90% Eva JOLY (EÉ)
90% Gilles PARGNEAUX (PS)
90% Henri WEBER (PS)
90% Jean-Luc BENNAHMIAS (MoDem)
(continued →)

FRANCE III



90%	José BOVÉ (EÉ)
90%	Malika BENARAB-ATTOU (EÉ)
90%	Marie-Christine VERGIAT (FGCE)
90%	Marielle de SARNEZ (MoDem)
90%	Robert ROCHEFORT (MoDem)
90%	Sylvie GUILLAUME (PS)
90%	Yannick JADOT (EÉ)
88%	Younous OMARJEE* (LAO-M)
86%	Jean-Jacob BICEP* (EÉ)
86%	Karim ZÉRIBI* (EÉ)
80%	Daniel COHN-BENDIT (EÉ)
80%	Hélène FLAUTRE (EÉ)
80%	Jean-Marie CAVADA (NC)
80%	Jean-Paul BESSET (EÉ)
80%	Michèle STRIFFLER (PR)
80%	Nathalie GRIESBECK (MoDem)
80%	Pervenche BERÈS (PS)
75%	Yves COCHET* (EÉ)

	GOOD
71%	Jean Louis COTTIGNY* (PS)
70%	Christine DE VEYRAC (UDI)
70%	Corinne LEPAGE (CAP21)
70%	Dominique RIQUET (PR)
70%	Marielle GALLO (UDI)

70%	Patrick LE HYARIC (FGCE)
70%	Sophie AUCONIE (UDI)
67%	Pascal CANFIN (EÉ)
67%	Stéphane LE FOLL* (PS)
67%	■ Vincent PEILLON* (PS)
60%	Alain CADEC (UMP)
60%	Jean-Luc MÉLENCHON (FGCE)
60%	Jean-Pierre AUDY (UMP)
60%	Karima DELLI (EÉ)
60%	Maurice PONGA (UMP)
60%	Patrice TIROLIEN (PS)
60%	Sylvie GOULARD (MoDem)
57%	Nora BERRA* (UMP)
50%	Arnaud DANJEAN (UMP)
50%	■ Elisabeth MORIN-CHARTIER (UMP)
50%	Françoise GROSSETÊTE (UMP)
50%	Jacky HÉNIN (FGCE)
50%	Jean-Paul GAUZÈS (UMP)
50%	Joseph DAUL (UMP)
50%	Rachida DATI (UMP)
	DAD

	_ BAD
44%	■ Brice HORTEFEUX* (UMP)
44%	Franck PROUST* (UMP)
44%	■ Philippe BOULLAND* (UMP)

40%	Alain LAMASSOURE (UMP)
40%	Dominique VLASTO (UMP)
40%	Gaston FRANCO (UMP)
40%	Marie-Thérèse SANCHEZ-SCHMID
	(UMP)
40%	Michel DANTIN* (UMP)
40%	Philippe JUVIN (UMP)
40%	Tokia SAÏFI (UMP)
40%	Véronique MATHIEU HOUILLON
	(UMP)
38%	Jean ROATTA* (UMP)
33%	Agnès LE BRUN* (UMP)
33%	Constance LE GRIP* (UMP)
33%	Damien ABAD* (NC)
30%	Harlem DÉSIR (PS)
	VERY BAD
0%	Bruno GOLLNISCH (FN)
0%	Elie HOARAU* (LAO-M)
0%	Jean-Marie LE PEN (FN)
0%	Marine LE PEN (FN)

■ Philippe de VILLIERS (MPF)

×

× V

×

GERMANY =





RANKING OF NATIONAL POLITICAL PARTIES

based on 2009-2014 voting records on climate and energy policies

VERY GOOD



Bündnis 90/Die Grünen (B90/DG) Greens/EFA



Sozialdemokratische **Partei Deutschlands** (SPD) S&D 23 🛊



DIE LINKE. (LINKE) GUE/NGL 8 🛊

BAD



Christlich Demokratische Union Deutschlands (CDU) EPP 34 f

Freie Demokratische **Partei** (FDP) ALDE 12 🛉



Christlich-Soziale Union in Bayern e.V. (CSU) EPP

RANKING OF PARLIAMENTARIANS

VERY GOOD

100% Bernhard RAPKAY (SPD)

Birgit SIPPEL (SPD)

Elisabeth SCHROEDTER (B90/DG)

×

Gerald HÄFNER (B90/DG) Jutta STEINRUCK (SPD) 100%

Martin HÄUSLING (B90/DG) 100%

100% Martina MICHELS* (LINKE) 100% Matthias GROOTE (SPD)

100% Norbert NEUSER (SPD)

Peter SIMON (SPD) 100% Petra KAMMEREVERT (SPD)

Sven GIEGOLD (B90/DG) 100%

Ulrike RODUST (SPD) Barbara LOCHBIHLER (B90/DG)

Barbara WEILER (SPD)

Cornelia ERNST (LINKE) 90%

100%

Franziska KELLER (B90/DG) 90%

90% Helga TRÜPEL (B90/DG) 90% Helmut SCHOLZ (LINKE)

Ismail ERTUG (SPD) 90%

Jan Philipp ALBRECHT (B90/DG) 90%

Jo LEINEN (SPD)

90% Jutta HAUG (SPD) Knut FLECKENSTEIN (SPD) 90%

Rebecca HARMS (B90/DG) 90%

90% **Udo BULLMANN (SPD)** Wolfgang KREISSL-DÖRFLER (SPD) 90%

86% Franziska Katharina BRANTNER* (B90/DG)

Bernd LANGE (SPD) 80%

Constanze Angela KREHL (SPD) 80%

80% Evelyne GEBHARDT (SPD)

80% Gabriele ZIMMER (LINKE)

80% Jens GEIER (SPD)

Jürgen KLUTE (LINKE) 80%

Karl-Heinz FLORENZ (CDU)

Kerstin WESTPHAL (SPD) 80%

Peter LIESE (CDU) 80%

Reinhard BÜTIKOFER (B90/DG) 80%

Sabine LÖSING (LINKE)

Sabine WILS (LINKE) 80%

Werner SCHULZ (B90/DG) 80%

ABOUT

 \checkmark

X

× \checkmark

> Regardless of their words and promises, it is the voting behavior of Members of the European Parliament that really shows what they and their national political parties are actually supporting. As we approach the 2014 European Parliamentary elections, Climate Action Network Europe provides you with this analysis on how political parties and their parliamentarians have voted in the last five years. The scorecard helps in understanding who has supported more adequate climate action in Europe.

> The scorecards reflect how European parliamentarians have voted on ten climate and energy issues during the 2009-2014 parliamentary term.** Parliamentarians were given a point when voting in favor of more ambitious climate and energy policies, or no point if they didn't (including cases where they did not vote).

> The scores of all parliamentarians were allocated to the different national political parties they represent.

> For ease of use, all scores were labeled according to the amount of positive votes: very good (75-100%), good (50-74%), bad (25-49%), and very bad (0-24%).

> For more detailed information, please consult our full Scorecards Background Document and Scorecards Analysis at www.caneurope.org/ **VoteForClimateAction**

- This person has not been an MEP for the complete 2009-2014 term.
- ** The votes cover the EU's Emissions Trading Scheme, the 2020 and 2030 greenhouse gas emission reduction targets, renewable energy policies, energy efficiency policies, the international climate negotiations, climate in development policies, international climate finance and the Mechanism for Monitoring and Reporting.

EUROPEAN POLITICAL GROUP MEMBERSHIP

ALDE Alliance of Liberals and

Democrats for Europe ECR European Conservatives and

Reformists EFD Europe of

Freedom and Democracy **EPP** European

People's Party

Greens/EFA

Greens/European Free Alliance

GUE/NGL European United Left - Nordic Green Left

NM Non-attached Members

S&D Progressive Alliance of Socialists and Democrats

GOOD

Lothar BISKY* (LINKE) 71% Elmar BROK (CDU) 70% Heide RÜHLE (B90/DG) 70%

60% Andreas SCHWAB (CDU)

Bernd POSSELT (CSU) 60%

Dagmar ROTH-BEHRENDT (SPD) 60% Michael CRAMER (B90/DG)

Michael GAHLER (CDU) Nadja HIRSCH (FDP) 60% Thomas MANN (CDU) 60%

Axel VOSS (CDU)

Birgit SCHNIEBER-JASTRAM (CDU) 50% Christa KLASS (CDU) 50%

Elisabeth JEGGLE (CDU) 50% (continued →)

GERMANY =





	BAD
43%	Alexander ALVARO (FDP)
43%	Anja WEISGERBER* (CSU)
43%	Birgit COLLIN-LANGEN* (CDU)
40%	Alexander Graf LAMBSDORFF (FDP)
40%	Dieter-Lebrecht KOCH (CDU)
40%	Doris PACK (CDU)
40%	Horst SCHNELLHARDT (CDU)
40%	Ingeborg GRÄSSLE (CDU)
40%	Jorgo CHATZIMARKAKIS (FDP)
40%	Michael THEURER (FDP)
40%	Renate SOMMER (CDU)

40%	Thomas ULMER (CDU)
40%	Werner KUHN (CDU)
40%	Werner LANGEN (CDU)
30%	Albert DESS (CSU)
30%	Alexandra THEIN (FDP)
30%	Angelika NIEBLER (CSU)
30%	Britta REIMERS (FDP)
30%	Burkhard BALZ (CDU)
30%	Daniel CASPARY (CDU)
30%	Godelieve QUISTHOUDT-ROWOHL
	(CDU)
30%	Jürgen CREUTZMANN (FDP)
30%	Klaus-Heiner LEHNE (CDU)
30%	Manfred WEBER (CSU)
30%	Markus FERBER (CSU)
30%	Markus PIEPER (CDU)
30%	Martin KASTLER (CSU)
30%	Monika HOHLMEIER (CSU)
30%	Peter JAHR (CDU)
30%	Rainer WIELAND (CDU)

30%	Wo	lf KLINZ (FDP)
	VI	ERY BAD
20%	Chi	ristian EHLER (CDU)
20%	■ He	rbert REUL (CDU)
20%	He	rmann WINKLER (CDU)
20%	Sab	oine VERHEYEN (CDU)
20%	Silv	rana KOCH-MEHRIN (FDP)
10%	Ho	ger KRAHMER (FDP)
10%	Joa	chim ZELLER (CDU)
0%	■ Gal	oriele STAUNER* (CSU)
0%	Kui	t LECHNER* (CDU)

×

x V

×

Martin SCHULZ became President of the European Parliament in January 2012 and has not voted since, he has therefore not been included.

GREECE



RANKING OF NATIONAL POLITICAL PARTIES

based on 2009-2014 voting records on climate and energy policies

VERY GOOD



Panellinio Sosialistiko Kinima (PASOK) S&D 7 †



Synaspismós Rizospastikís Aristerás (SYRIZA) GUE/NGL

GOOD



Ikologi Prasini (OP) Greens/EFA 1 •

BAD



Drassi (D) ALDE 2 †



Nea Demokratia (ND) EPP 7 †



Laikós Orthódoxos Synagermós (LAOS) EFD 2 †

EUROPEAN POLITICAL GROUP MEMBERSHIP

ALDE Alliance
of Liberals and
Democrats for Europe
ECR European
Conservatives and
Reformists
EFD Europe of
Freedom and

Democracy

EPP European

People's Party

Greens/EFA
Greens/European
Free Alliance
GUE/NGL European
United Left – Nordic
Green Left
NM Non-attached
Members
S&D Progressive
Alliance of Socialists
and Democrats

VFRY BAD

×



Kommounistikó Kómma Elládas (KKE) GUE/NGL 2 ‡

RANKING OF PARLIAMENTARIANS

VERY GOOD 100% Georgios STAVRAKAKIS (PASOK) 100% Kriton ARSENIS (-) 100% Maria Eleni KOPPA (PASOK) 100% Spyros DANELLIS* (PASOK) 100% Stavros LAMBRINIDIS* (PASOK) 90% Sylvana RAPTI (PASOK) 88% Nikos CHRYSOGELOS* (OP)

88% Nikos CHRYSOGELOS* (OP)
80% Anni PODIMATA (PASOK)
80% Chrysoula PALIADELI (PASOK)
80% Nikolaos CHOUNTIS (SYRIZA)

GOOD

56% Dimitrios DROUTSAS* (PASOK)
50% Georgios PAPANIKOLAOU (ND)
50% Marietta GIANNAKOU (ND)

BAD

Georgios PAPASTAMKOS (ND)
 Ioannis A. TSOUKALAS (ND)
 Nikolaos SALAVRAKOS* (LAOS)
 Rodi KRATSA-TSAGAROPOULOU (ND)
 Theodoros SKYLAKAKIS (D)

Georgios KOUMOUTSAKOS (ND)

30% Niki TZAVELA (LAOS)

VERY BAD

20% Konstantinos POUPAKIS (ND)
 10% Charalampos ANGOURAKIS* (KKE)
 10% Georgios TOUSSAS (KKE)
 0% Michail TREMOPOULOS* (OP)

Athanasios PAFILIS* (KKE)Athanasios PLEVRIS* (LAOS)

Giorgos PAPAKONSTANTINOU*
 (PASOK)

ABOUT

V

X

× V

Regardless of their words and promises, it is the voting behavior of Members of the European Parliament that really shows what they and their national political parties are actually supporting. As we approach the 2014 European Parliamentary elections, Climate Action Network Europe provides you with this analysis on how political parties and their parliamentarians have voted in the last five years. The scorecard helps in understanding who has supported more adequate climate action in Europe.

The scorecards reflect how European parliamentarians have voted on ten climate and energy issues during the 2009-2014 parliamentary term.** Parliamentarians were given a point when voting in favor of more ambitious climate and energy policies, or no point if they didn't (including cases where they did not vote).

The scores of all parliamentarians were allocated to the different national political parties they represent.

For ease of use, all scores were labeled according to the amount of positive votes: very good (■ 75-100%), good (■ 50-74%), bad (■ 25-49%), and very bad (■ 0-24%).

For more detailed information, please consult our full Scorecards Background Document and Scorecards Analysis at www.caneurope.org/VoteForClimateAction

- * This person has not been an MEP for the complete 2009-2014 term.
- *** The votes cover the EU's Emissions Trading Scheme, the 2020 and 2030 greenhouse gas emission reduction targets, renewable energy policies, energy efficiency policies, the international climate negotiations, climate in development policies, international climate finance and the Mechanism for Monitoring and Reporting.

HUNGARY =



×



RANKING OF NATIONAL POLITICAL PARTIES

based on 2009-2014 voting records on climate and energy policies

VERY GOOD



Magyar Szocialista Párt (MPSZ) S&D 4 †

BAD



Fidesz-Magyar Polgári Szövetség-Keresztény Demokrata Néppárt (FIDESZ) EPP 14 †



Magyar Demokrata Fórum (MDF) ECR



Jobbik Magyarországért Mozgalom (JOBBIK) NM 3 †

RANKING OF PARLIAMENTARIANS

VERY GOOD

100% Kinga GÖNCZ (MPSZ)90% Csaba Sándor TABAJDI (MPSZ)

90% Zita GURMAI (MPSZ)

GOOD

70% Béla GLATTFELDER (FIDESZ)
60% Edit HERCZOG (MPSZ)
56% Zoltán BAGÓ* (FIDESZ)

László SURJÁN (FIDESZ)

BAD

50%

44% Béla KOVÁCS* (JOBBIK)

44% Ildikó GÁLL-PELCZ* (FIDESZ)
43% Erik BÁNKI* (FIDESZ)

40% András GYÜRK (FIDESZ)

40% Csaba ŐRY (FIDESZ)40% József SZÁJER (FIDESZ)

40% Kinga GÁL (FIDESZ)

40% Lívia JÁRÓKA (FIDESZ)

40% Tamás DEUTSCH (FIDESZ)33% János ÁDER* (FIDESZ)

30% Ádám KÓSA (FIDESZ)30% György SCHÖPFLIN (FIDESZ)

30% Lajos BOKROS (MDF)

VERY BAD

20% Ágnes HANKISS (FIDESZ)
20% Csanád SZEGEDI (JOBBIK)
20% Krisztina MORVAI (JOBBIK)
0% Enikő GYŐRI* (FIDESZ)
0% Pál SCHMITT* (FIDESZ)

Zoltán BALCZÓ* (JOBBIK)

ABOUT

 \checkmark

X

Regardless of their words and promises, it is the voting behavior of Members of the European Parliament that really shows what they and their national political parties are actually supporting. As we approach the 2014 European Parliamentary elections, Climate Action Network Europe provides you with this analysis on how political parties and their parliamentarians have voted in the last five years. The scorecard helps in understanding who has supported more adequate climate action in Europe.

The scorecards reflect how European parliamentarians have voted on ten climate and energy issues during the 2009-2014 parliamentary term.** Parliamentarians were given a point when voting in favor of more ambitious climate and energy policies, or no point if they didn't (including cases where they did not vote).

The scores of all parliamentarians were allocated to the different national political parties they represent.

For ease of use, all scores were labeled according to the amount of positive votes: very good (■ 75-100%), good (■ 50-74%), bad (■ 25-49%), and very bad (■ 0-24%).

For more detailed information, please consult our full Scorecards Background Document and Scorecards Analysis at www.caneurope.org/VoteForClimateAction

- * This person has not been an MEP for the complete 2009-2014 term.
- The votes cover the EU's Emissions Trading Scheme, the 2020 and 2030 greenhouse gas emission reduction targets, renewable energy policies, energy efficiency policies, the international climate negotiations, climate in development policies, international climate finance and the Mechanism for Monitoring and Reporting.

EUROPEAN POLITICAL GROUP MEMBERSHIP

ALDE Alliance
of Liberals and
Democrats for Europe
ECR European
Conservatives and

Reformists

EFD Europe of

Freedom and

Democracy
EPP European
People's Party

Greens/EFA

Greens/European Free Alliance

GUE/NGL European United Left – Nordic Green Left

NM Non-attached Members

IRELAND I



RANKING OF NATIONAL POLITICAL PARTIES

based on 2009-2014 voting records on climate and energy policies

VERY GOOD



Labour Party (LP) S&D 3 #

GOOD



Fianna Fáil Party (FFP) ALDE 3 †



Fine Gael Party (FGP) EPP 4 †



Socialist Party (SP) GUE/NGL

RANKING OF PARLIAMENTARIANS

VERY GOOD

×

GOOD

70% Mairead McGUINNESS (FGP)
70% Seán KELLY (FGP)
67% Paul MURPHY* (SP)
60% Gay MITCHELL (FGP)
50% Brian CROWLEY (FFP)

BAD

Jim HIGGINS (FGP)

___ VERY BAD

o% ■ Joe HIGGINS* (SP)

ABOUT

 \checkmark

X

× V

Regardless of their words and promises, it is the voting behavior of Members of the European Parliament that really shows what they and their national political parties are actually supporting. As we approach the 2014 European Parliamentary elections, Climate Action Network Europe provides you with this analysis on how political parties and their parliamentarians have voted in the last five years. The scorecard helps in understanding who has supported more adequate climate action in Europe.

The scorecards reflect how European parliamentarians have voted on ten climate and energy issues during the 2009-2014 parliamentary term.** Parliamentarians were given a point when voting in favor of more ambitious climate and energy policies, or no point if they didn't (including cases where they did not vote).

The scores of all parliamentarians were allocated to the different national political parties they represent.

For ease of use, all scores were labeled according to the amount of positive votes: very good (■ 75-100%), good (■ 50-74%), bad (■ 25-49%), and very bad (■ 0-24%).

For more detailed information, please consult our full Scorecards Background Document and Scorecards Analysis at www.caneurope.org/VoteForClimateAction

- * This person has not been an MEP for the complete 2009-2014 term.
- *** The votes cover the EU's Emissions Trading Scheme, the 2020 and 2030 greenhouse gas emission reduction targets, renewable energy policies, energy efficiency policies, the international climate negotiations, climate in development policies, international climate finance and the Mechanism for Monitoring and Reporting.

EUROPEAN POLITICAL GROUP MEMBERSHIP

ALDE Alliance
of Liberals and
Democrats for Europe
ECR European
Conservatives and

Reformists

EFD Europe of
Freedom and
Democracy

EPP European
People's Party

Greens/EFA Greens/European

Free Alliance
GUE/NGL European

United Left – Nordic Green Left

NM Non-attached

Members
S&D Progressive

Alliance of Socialists and Democrats

ITALY T





RANKING OF NATIONAL POLITICAL PARTIES

based on 2009-2014 voting records on climate and energy policies

VERY GOOD



Partito Democratico (PD) S&D 23 🛊

GOOD



Italia dei Valori - Lista Di Pietro (IdV) ALDE 4 🛊



Conservatori e Social Riformatori (CSR) ECR



Südtiroler Volkspartei (Partito popolare sudtirolese) (SV) EPP 1 🛉

BAD



Fratelli d'Italia -Centrodestra Nazionale (FI-CN) EPP 2 🛊



Il Popolo della Libertà (PDL) EPP 22 🛊

EUROPEAN POLITICAL GROUP MEMBERSHIP

ALDE Alliance of Liberals and Democrats for Europe ECR European

Conservatives and Reformists

EFD Europe of Freedom and Democracy

EPP European People's Party

Greens/EFA

Greens/European Free Alliance

GUE/NGL European United Left - Nordic Green Left

NM Non-attached Members

S&D Progressive Alliance of Socialists and Democrats

Unione Democratici per l'Europa (UDE) EPP 1 🛉

Unione dei Democratici cristiani e dei Democratici di Centro (UDC) EPP



Futuro e Libertà per l'Italia (FLI) EPP

VERY BAD



"lo amo l'Italia" (AI) EFD 1 🛉



Lega Nord (LN) EFD 8

RANKING OF PARLIAMENTARIANS

VERY GOOD

100% Debora SERRACCHIANI* (PD)

100% Franco BONANINI* (PD) 100% Franco FRIGO* (PD)

Leonardo DOMENICI (PD)

100% Luigi de MAGISTRIS* (IdV) Pino ARLACCHI (PD) 100%

100% Roberto GUALTIERI (PD)

Salvatore CARONNA (PD) Francesco DE ANGELIS (PD) 90%

Paolo DE CASTRO (PD) 90%

Patrizia TOIA (PD) 90%

Pier Antonio PANZERI (PD) 90%

Sergio Gaetano COFFERATI (PD) 90%

90% Vittorio PRODI (PD)

80% David-Maria SASSOLI (PD)

■ Gianluca SUSTA* (PD) 80%

Giommaria UGGIAS (IdV) 80% Mario PIRILLO (PD)

80% 80% Niccolò RINALDI (IdV)

Silvia COSTA (PD) 80%

Andrea ZANONI* (–) 78%

Rosario CROCETTA* (PD)

ABOUT

V

Regardless of their words and promises, it is the voting behavior of Members of the European Parliament that really shows what they and their national political parties are actually supporting. As we approach the 2014 European Parliamentary elections, Climate Action Network Europe provides you with this analysis on how political parties and their parliamentarians have voted in the last five years. The scorecard helps in understanding who has supported more adequate climate action in Europe.

The scorecards reflect how European parliamentarians have voted on ten climate and energy issues during the 2009-2014 parliamentary term.** Parliamentarians were given a point when voting in favor of more ambitious climate and energy policies, or no point if they didn't (including cases where they did not vote).

The scores of all parliamentarians were allocated to the different national political parties they represent.

For ease of use, all scores were labeled according to the amount of positive votes: very good (75-100%), good (50-74%), bad (25-49%), and very bad (0-24%).

For more detailed information, please consult our full Scorecards Background Document and Scorecards Analysis at www.caneurope.org/ **VoteForClimateAction**

- This person has not been an MEP for the complete 2009-2014 term.
- ** The votes cover the EU's Emissions Trading Scheme, the 2020 and 2030 greenhouse gas emission reduction targets, renewable energy policies, energy efficiency policies, the international climate negotiations, climate in development policies, international climate finance and the Mechanism for Monitoring and Reporting.

GOOD

Andrea COZZOLINO (PD) 70%

Gianni PITTELLA (PD) 70%

Guido MILANA (PD) 70%


Vincenzo IOVINE (PD) Francesca BARRACCIU* (PD) 67%

Cristiana MUSCARDINI (CSR) 60%

(continued →)







	_ BAD
40%	Alfredo ANTONIOZZI (PDL)
40%	Alfredo PALLONE (PDL)
40%	Clemente MASTELLA (UDE)
40%	Crescenzio RIVELLINI (PDL)
40%	Marco SCURRIA (FI-CN)
40%	Salvatore IACOLINO (PDL)
40%	Sergio BERLATO (PDL)
40%	Sonia ALFANO (IdV)
40%	Tiziano MOTTI (UDC)
33%	Giuseppe GARGANI* (UDC)
30%	Aldo PATRICIELLO (PDL)
30%	Elisabetta GARDINI (PDL)
30%	Francesca BALZANI (PD)
30%	Luigi Ciriaco DE MITA (UDC)
30%	Paolo BARTOLOZZI (PDL)
30%	Potito SALATTO (PDL)
30%	Salvatore TATARELLA (FLI)
25%	Gino TREMATERRA* (UDC)

	_ VERY BAD
20%	Antonello ANTINORO (UDC)
20%	■ Fabrizio BERTOT* (PDL)
20%	Magdi Cristiano ALLAM (AI)
20%	■ Vito BONSIGNORE (PDL)
10%	Claudio MORGANTI (LN)
10%	■ Giancarlo SCOTTÀ (LN)
10%	Lorenzo FONTANA (LN)
10%	Mara BIZZOTTO (LN)
10%	Mario BORGHEZIO (LN)
10%	■ Matteo SALVINI (LN)
10%	Oreste ROSSI (–)
0%	Fiorello PROVERA (LN)
0%	Francesco Enrico SPERONI (LN)
0%	■ Giovanni COLLINO* (PDL)
0%	■ Susy DE MARTINI* (–)

×

× V

×

LATVIA =



RANKING OF NATIONAL POLITICAL PARTIES

based on 2009-2014 voting records on climate and energy policies

VERY GOOD



Par cilvēka tiesībām vienotā Latvijā (PCTVL) Greens/EFA

1 🛉



Politisko partiju apvienība "Saskaņas centrs" (PPASC) GUE/NGL

#

1 🛉

GOOD



Politiskā Partija "Alternative" (PPA) S&D

BAD



Latvijas Pirmā Partija/ Latvijas Ceļš (LPP/LC) ALDE 1 †



Tēvzemei un Brīvībai/ LNNK (TB/LNNK) ECR 1 †



Vienotība (V) EPP 4 ₱

RANKING OF PARLIAMENTARIANS

VERY GOOD

×

100% ■ Tatjana ŽDANOKA (PCTVL) **90%** ■ Alfreds RUBIKS (PPASC)

GOOD

70% Alexander MIRSKY (PPA)

BAD

40% Inese VAIDERE (V)
40% Ivars GODMANIS (LPP/LC)
40% Krišjānis KARIŅŠ (V)
40% Roberts ZĪLE (TB/LNNK)
40% Sandra KALNIETE (V)

Kārlis ŠADURSKIS* (V)

ABOUT

M

X

x V

Regardless of their words and promises, it is the voting behavior of Members of the European Parliament that really shows what they and their national political parties are actually supporting. As we approach the 2014 European Parliamentary elections, Climate Action Network Europe provides you with this analysis on how political parties and their parliamentarians have voted in the last five years. The scorecard helps in understanding who has supported more adequate climate action in Europe.

The scorecards reflect how European parliamentarians have voted on ten climate and energy issues during the 2009-2014 parliamentary term.** Parliamentarians were given a point when voting in favor of more ambitious climate and energy policies, or no point if they didn't (including cases where they did not vote).

The scores of all parliamentarians were allocated to the different national political parties they represent.

For ease of use, all scores were labeled according to the amount of positive votes: very good (■ 75-100%), good (■ 50-74%), bad (■ 25-49%), and very bad (■ 0-24%).

For more detailed information, please consult our full Scorecards Background Document and Scorecards Analysis at www.caneurope.org/VoteForClimateAction

- * This person has not been an MEP for the complete 2009-2014 term.
- *** The votes cover the EU's Emissions Trading Scheme, the 2020 and 2030 greenhouse gas emission reduction targets, renewable energy policies, energy efficiency policies, the international climate negotiations, climate in development policies, international climate finance and the Mechanism for Monitoring and Reporting.

EUROPEAN POLITICAL GROUP MEMBERSHIP

ALDE Alliance
of Liberals and
Democrats for Europe
ECR European
Conservatives and
Reformists
EFD Europe of
Freedom and

Democracy

EPP European

People's Party

Greens/European
Free Alliance
GUE/NGL European
United Left – Nordic
Green Left
NM Non-attached
Members
S&D Progressive
Alliance of Socialists

and Democrats

Greens/EFA

LITHUANIA





RANKING OF NATIONAL POLITICAL PARTIES

based on 2009-2014 voting records on climate and energy policies

VERY GOOD



Lietuvos socialdemokratų partija (LSDP) S&D 3 🛊



Lietuvos Respublikos liberalų sąjūdis (LRLS) ALDE 1 🛉

GOOD



Partija Tvarka ir teisingumas (TT) EFD

BAD



Tėvynės sąjunga - Lietuvos krikščionys demokratai (TS-LKD) EPP 4 🛊



Darbo partija (DP) ALDE 1 🛊

VERY BAD



Lietuvos lenkų rinkimų akcija (LLRA) ECR 1 🛊

Greens/EFA

EUROPEAN POLITICAL GROUP MEMBERSHIP

ALDE Alliance of Liberals and Democrats for Europe ECR European Conservatives and

Reformists EFD Europe of Freedom and Democracy

EPP European People's Party

Greens/European Free Alliance GUE/NGL European United Left - Nordic Green Left NM Non-attached Members S&D Progressive

Alliance of Socialists

and Democrats

RANKING OF PARLIAMENTARIANS

VERY GOOD

×

■ Vilija BLINKEVIČIŪTĖ (LSDP) **Zigmantas BALČYTIS** (LSDP) 90% Justas Vincas PALECKIS (LSDP) 80% Leonidas DONSKIS (LRLS)

GOOD

Juozas IMBRASAS (TT) 70% Radvilė MORKŪNAITĖ-MIKULĖNIENĖ (TS-LKD)

Algirdas SAUDARGAS (TS-LKD) 50% Rolandas PAKSAS (TT)

■ Viktor USPASKICH* (DP)

Laima Liucija ANDRIKIENĖ (TS-LKD)

VFRY BAD

■ Valdemar TOMAŠEVSKI (LLRA) Vytautas LANDSBERGIS (TS-LKD) Justina VITKAUSKAITE BERNARD*

ABOUT

V

X

× V

Regardless of their words and promises, it is the voting behavior of Members of the European Parliament that really shows what they and their national political parties are actually supporting. As we approach the 2014 European Parliamentary elections, Climate Action Network Europe provides you with this analysis on how political parties and their parliamentarians have voted in the last five years. The scorecard helps in understanding who has supported more adequate climate action in Europe.

The scorecards reflect how European parliamentarians have voted on ten climate and energy issues during the 2009-2014 parliamentary term.** Parliamentarians were given a point when voting in favor of more ambitious climate and energy policies, or no point if they didn't (including cases where they did not vote).

The scores of all parliamentarians were allocated to the different national political parties they represent.

For ease of use, all scores were labeled according to the amount of positive votes: very good (75-100%), good (50-74%), bad (25-49%), and very bad (**0**-24%).

For more detailed information, please consult our full Scorecards Background Document and Scorecards Analysis at www.caneurope.org/ **VoteForClimateAction**

- * This person has not been an MEP for the complete 2009-2014 term.
- ** The votes cover the EU's Emissions Trading Scheme, the 2020 and 2030 greenhouse gas emission reduction targets, renewable energy policies, energy efficiency policies, the international climate negotiations, climate in development policies, international climate finance and the Mechanism for Monitoring and Reporting.

LUXEMBOURG ==



×







RANKING OF NATIONAL POLITICAL PARTIES

based on 2009-2014 voting records on climate and energy policies

VERY GOOD



Déi Gréng - Les Verts (G-V) Greens/EFA



Parti démocratique (PD) ALDE 1 🛉

BAD



Parti chrétien social luxembourgeois (CSV) EPP

VFRY BAD



Parti ouvrier socialiste luxembourgeois (POSL) S&D 1 🛉

RANKING OF PARLIAMENTARIANS

VERY GOOD ■ Charles GOERENS (PD) Claude TURMES (G-V) 90%

GOOD

Georges BACH (CSV)

BAD

Frank ENGEL (CSV) Astrid LULLING (CSV)

VERY BAD

Robert GOEBBELS (POSL)

ABOUT

Regardless of their words and promises, it is the voting behavior of Members of the European Parliament that really shows what they and their national political parties are actually supporting. As we approach the 2014 European Parliamentary elections, Climate Action Network Europe provides you with this analysis on how political parties and their parliamentarians have voted in the last five years. The scorecard helps in understanding who has supported more adequate climate action in Europe.

The scorecards reflect how European parliamentarians have voted on ten climate and energy issues during the 2009-2014 parliamentary term.** Parliamentarians were given a point when voting in favor of more ambitious climate and energy policies, or no point if they didn't (including cases where they did not vote).

The scores of all parliamentarians were allocated to the different national political parties they represent.

For ease of use, all scores were labeled according to the amount of positive votes: very good (75-100%), good (50-74%), bad (25-49%), and very bad (**0**-24%).

For more detailed information, please consult our full Scorecards Back-Document and Scorecards ground Analysis at www.caneurope.org/ **VoteForClimateAction**

- * This person has not been an MEP for the complete 2009-2014 term.
- ** The votes cover the EU's Emissions Trading Scheme, the 2020 and 2030 greenhouse gas emission reduction targets, renewable energy policies, energy efficiency policies, the international climate negotiations, climate in development policies, international climate finance and the Mechanism for Monitoring and Reporting.

EUROPEAN POLITICAL GROUP MEMBERSHIP

ALDE Alliance of Liberals and Democrats for Europe ECR European Conservatives and Reformists

EFD Europe of Freedom and Democracy **EPP** European People's Party

Greens/EFA Greens/European Free Alliance GUE/NGL European United Left - Nordic Green Left NM Non-attached

MALTA I





RANKING OF NATIONAL POLITICAL PARTIES

based on 2009-2014 voting records on climate and energy policies

GOOD



Partit Laburista (PL) S&D

4 🛉

BAD



Partit Nazzjonalista (PN) EPP 2 †

RANKING OF PARLIAMENTARIANS



×

GOOD

Joseph CUSCHIERI* (PL)Louis GRECH* (PL)

60% Marlene MIZZI* (PL)

60% Simon BUSUTTIL* (PN)

BAD

40% Roberta METSOLA* (PN) **30%** John ATTARD-MONTALTO (PL)

VERY BAD

20% David CASA (PN)

ABOUT

X

× V

Regardless of their words and promises, it is the voting behavior of Members of the European Parliament that really shows what they and their national political parties are actually supporting. As we approach the 2014 European Parliamentary elections, Climate Action Network Europe provides you with this analysis on how political parties and their parliamentarians have voted in the last five years. The scorecard helps in understanding who has supported more adequate climate action in Europe.

The scorecards reflect how European parliamentarians have voted on ten climate and energy issues during the 2009-2014 parliamentary term.** Parliamentarians were given a point when voting in favor of more ambitious climate and energy policies, or no point if they didn't (including cases where they did not vote).

The scores of all parliamentarians were allocated to the different national political parties they represent.

For ease of use, all scores were labeled according to the amount of positive votes: very good (■ 75-100%), good (■ 50-74%), bad (■ 25-49%), and very bad (■ 0-24%).

For more detailed information, please consult our full Scorecards Background Document and Scorecards Analysis at www.caneurope.org/VoteForClimateAction

- * This person has not been an MEP for the complete 2009-2014 term.
- *** The votes cover the EU's Emissions Trading Scheme, the 2020 and 2030 greenhouse gas emission reduction targets, renewable energy policies, energy efficiency policies, the international climate negotiations, climate in development policies, international climate finance and the Mechanism for Monitoring and Reporting.

NETHERLANDS =





RANKING OF NATIONAL POLITICAL PARTIES

based on 2009-2014 voting records on climate and energy policies

VERY GOOD



GroenLinks
(GL) Greens/EFA



Partij van de Arbeid (PVDA) S&D 3 †



Socialistische Partij (SP) GUE/NGL 1 †



Democraten 66 (D66) ALDE 3 †

GOOD



ChristenUnie (CU) ECR 1 †



Christen Democratisch Appèl (CDA) EPP 5 †



Staatkundig Gereformeerde Partij (SGP) EFD 1 †

EUROPEAN POLITICAL GROUP MEMBERSHIP

ALDE Alliance of Liberals and Democrats for Europe

ECR European
Conservatives and
Reformists

EFD Europe of Freedom and Democracy

EPP European People's Party

Greens/EFA

Greens/European Free Alliance

GUE/NGL European United Left – Nordic Green Left

NM Non-attached Members

S&D Progressive
Alliance of Socialists
and Democrats

BAD

Volkspartij voor Vrijheid en Democratie (VVD) ALDE 3 †

VERY BAD



Partij voor de Vrijheid (PVV) NM 4 †



Artikel 50 (50+) NM 1 †

RANKING OF PARLIAMENTARIANS

VFRY GOOD

100% Bas EICKHOUT (GL) 100% Judith SARGENTINI (GL) Thijs BERMAN (PVDA) 100% Emine BOZKURT (PVDA) 90% Judith A. MERKIES (PVDA) Kartika Tamara LIOTARD (-) 90% 89% Marije CORNELISSEN (GL) Cornelis de JONG (SP) Marietje SCHAAKE (D66) 80% Sophia in 't VELD (D66) 80%

80% Wim van de CAMP (CDA)
78% Jan MULDER* (VVD)

GOOD

70% Gerben-Jan GERBRANDY (D66)
70% Peter van DALEN (CU)
60% Bastiaan BELDER (SGP)
60% Esther de LANGE (CDA)
60% Lambert van NISTELROOIJ (CDA)
60% Ria OOMEN-RUIJTEN (CDA)
50% Corien WORTMANN-KOOL (CDA)

BAD

30% Toine MANDERS (VVD)

VERY BAD

11% Lucas HARTONG* (PVV)
0% Auke ZIJLSTRA* (PVV)
0% Barry MADLENER* (PVV)
0% Daniël van der STOEP* (50+)

ABOUT

Regardless of their words and promises, it is the voting behavior of Members of the European Parliament that really shows what they and their national political parties are actually supporting. As we approach the 2014 European Parliamentary elections, Climate Action Network Europe provides you with this analysis on how political parties and their parliamentarians have voted in the last five years. The scorecard helps in understanding who has supported more adequate climate action in Europe.

The scorecards reflect how European parliamentarians have voted on ten climate and energy issues during the 2009-2014 parliamentary term.** Parliamentarians were given a point when voting in favor of more ambitious climate and energy policies, or no point if they didn't (including cases where they did not vote).

The scores of all parliamentarians were allocated to the different national political parties they represent.

For ease of use, all scores were labeled according to the amount of positive votes: very good (■ 75-100%), good (■ 50-74%), bad (■ 25-49%), and very bad (■ 0-24%).

For more detailed information, please consult our full Scorecards Background Document and Scorecards Analysis at www.caneurope.org/VoteForClimateAction

- * This person has not been an MEP for the complete 2009-2014 term.
- ** The votes cover the EU's Emissions Trading Scheme, the 2020 and 2030 greenhouse gas emission reduction targets, renewable energy policies, energy efficiency policies, the international climate negotiations, climate in development policies, international climate finance and the Mechanism for Monitoring and Reporting.

0% ■ Jeanine HENNIS-PLASSCHAERT*
(VVD)

o% Johannes Cornelis van BAALEN

o% Laurence J.A.J. STASSEN (PVV)

0% Louis BONTES* (PVV)0% Patricia van der KAMMEN* (PVV)

POLAND -





RANKING OF NATIONAL POLITICAL PARTIES

based on 2009-2014 voting records on climate and energy policies

GOOD



Sojusz Lewicy Demokratycznej (SLD) S&D 5 🛊

BAD



Platforma Obywatelska

25 🛊



Polskie Stronnictwo Ludowe (PSL) EPP



Unia Pracy (UP) S&D 1 🛊

VERY BAD



Prawo i Sprawiedliwość (PiS) ECR

7 🛊



Polska Jest Najważniejsza (PJN) ECR

3 🛊



Solidarna Polska (SP) EFD 4 🛊

EUROPEAN POLITICAL GROUP MEMBERSHIP

ALDE Alliance of Liberals and

Democrats for Europe ECR European Conservatives and

Reformists EFD Europe of

Freedom and Democracy

EPP European People's Party

Greens/EFA

Greens/European Free Alliance

GUE/NGL European United Left - Nordic Green Left

NM Non-attached Members

S&D Progressive Alliance of Socialists and Democrats

RANKING OF PARLIAMENTARIANS

VFRY GOOD

×

100% Janusz LEWANDOWSKI* (PO)

GOOD

Marek SIWIEC (-) 70%

Janusz Władysław ZEMKE (SLD) 60%

Joanna SENYSZYN (SLD) 60%

Lidia Joanna GERINGER 60% de OEDENBERG (SLD)

Rafał TRZASKOWSKI* (PO) 50%

Wojciech Michał OLEJNICZAK (SLD) 50%

40% Andrzej GRZYB (PSL)

Artur ZASADA (PO) 40%

40% Bogdan Kazimierz MARCINKIEWICZ (PO)

Bogusław LIBERADZKI (SLD) 40%

Danuta Maria HÜBNER (PO) 40%

Elżbieta Katarzyna ŁUKACIJEWSKA (PO) 40%

Filip KACZMAREK (PO) 40%

40% Jacek PROTASIEWICZ (PO)

Jan OLBRYCHT (PO) 40%

Jarosław KALINOWSKI (PSL) 40%

Jarosław Leszek WAŁĘSA (PO)

40% Jerzy BUZEK (PO)

Joanna Katarzyna SKRZYDLEWSKA (PO) 40%

Jolanta Emilia HIBNER (PO) 40%

40% Krzysztof LISEK (PO)

Małgorzata HANDZLIK (PO) 40%

40% Paweł ZALEWSKI (PO)

Róża Gräfin von THUN UND 40% **HOHENSTEIN (PO)**

Sidonia Elżbieta JĘDRZEJEWSKA (PO) 40%

40% Tadeusz ZWIEFKA (PO)

38% Arkadiusz Tomasz BRATKOWSKI* (PSL)

38% Lena KOLARSKA-BOBIŃSKA* (PO)

Jan KOZŁOWSKI* (PO) 33%

Adam GIEREK (UP)

Bogusław SONIK (PO) 30%

Czesław Adam SIEKIERSKI (PSL) 30%

Danuta JAZŁOWIECKA (PO) 30%

Jacek SARYUSZ-WOLSKI (PO) 30%

Piotr BORYS (PO) 30%

Sławomir NITRAS (PO) 30%

VERY BAD

Adam BIELAN (-) 20% Konrad SZYMAŃSKI (PiS) 20%

Marek Henryk MIGALSKI (PJN) 20%

Marek Józef GRÓBARCZYK (PiS) 20% 20% Michał Tomasz KAMIŃSKI (PJN)

Mirosław PIOTROWSKI (PiS) 20% 20% Ryszard Antoni LEGUTKO (PiS)

Ryszard CZARNECKI (PiS)

ABOUT

V

X

× \checkmark

> Regardless of their words and promises, it is the voting behavior of Members of the European Parliament that really shows what they and their national political parties are actually supporting. As we approach the 2014 European Parliamentary elections, Climate Action Network Europe provides you with this analysis on how political parties and their parliamentarians have voted in the last five years. The scorecard helps in understanding who has supported more adequate climate action in Europe.

> The scorecards reflect how European parliamentarians have voted on ten climate and energy issues during the 2009-2014 parliamentary term.** Parliamentarians were given a point when voting in favor of more ambitious climate and energy policies, or no point if they didn't (including cases where they did not vote).

> The scores of all parliamentarians were allocated to the different national political parties they represent.

> For ease of use, all scores were labeled according to the amount of positive votes: very good (75-100%), good (50-74%), bad (25-49%), and very bad (0-24%).

> For more detailed information, please consult our full Scorecards Background Document and Scorecards Analysis at www.caneurope.org/ **VoteForClimateAction**

- * This person has not been an MEP for the complete 2009-2014 term.
- ** The votes cover the EU's Emissions Trading Scheme, the 2020 and 2030 greenhouse gas emission reduction targets, renewable energy policies, energy efficiency policies, the international climate negotiations, climate in development policies, international climate finance and the Mechanism for Monitoring and Reporting.

Tomasz Piotr PORĘBA (PiS) Janusz WOJCIECHOWSKI (PiS) 10%

10% Paweł Robert KOWAL (PJN) Jacek Olgierd KURSKI (SP) 0%

Jacek WŁOSOWICZ (SP) 0% 0% Tadeusz CYMAŃSKI (SP)

Tadeusz ROSS* (PO) 0% 0% Zbigniew ZALESKI* (PO)

Zbigniew ZIOBRO (SP)

PORTUGAL <a>9





RANKING OF NATIONAL POLITICAL PARTIES

based on 2009-2014 voting records on climate and energy policies

VERY GOOD



Bloco de Esquerda (BE) GUE/NGL



Partido Socialista (PS) S&D 7 †

GOOD



Partido Comunista Português (PCP) GUE/NGL



Partido Social
Democrata (PSD) EPP
8 #



Partido Popular (PP) EPP 2 †

RANKING OF PARLIAMENTARIANS

VERY GOOD

100% Alda SOUSA* (BE)

100% Luis Manuel CAPOULAS SANTOS (PS)

×

90% Ana GOMES (PS)

90% António Fernando CORREIA DE

CAMPOS (PS)

90% Elisa FERREIRA (PS)

90% Luís Paulo ALVES (PS)

90% Marisa MATIAS (BE)

90% Rui TAVARES (–)

90% Vital MOREIRA (PS)

80% Carlos COELHO (PSD)

80% Edite ESTRELA (PS)

80% Regina BASTOS (PSD)

75% Inês Cristina ZUBER* (PCP)

GOOD

70% José Manuel FERNANDES (PSD)

70% Maria Da Graça CARVALHO (PSD)

70% Maria do Céu PATRÃO NEVES (PSD)

70% Nuno MELO (PP)

67% Miguel PORTAS* (BE)

60% João FERREIRA (PCP)

60% Nuno TEIXEIRA (PSD)

50% Diogo FEIO (PP)

50% Ilda FIGUEIREDO* (PCP)

DVD

40% Paulo RANGEL (PSD)
30% Mário DAVID (PSD)

ABOUT

 \checkmark

X

× V

Regardless of their words and promises, it is the voting behavior of Members of the European Parliament that really shows what they and their national political parties are actually supporting. As we approach the 2014 European Parliamentary elections, Climate Action Network Europe provides you with this analysis on how political parties and their parliamentarians have voted in the last five years. The scorecard helps in understanding who has supported more adequate climate action in Europe.

The scorecards reflect how European parliamentarians have voted on ten climate and energy issues during the 2009-2014 parliamentary term.** Parliamentarians were given a point when voting in favor of more ambitious climate and energy policies, or no point if they didn't (including cases where they did not vote).

The scores of all parliamentarians were allocated to the different national political parties they represent.

For ease of use, all scores were labeled according to the amount of positive votes: very good (■ 75-100%), good (■ 50-74%), bad (■ 25-49%), and very bad (■ 0-24%).

For more detailed information, please consult our full Scorecards Background Document and Scorecards Analysis at www.caneurope.org/VoteForClimateAction

- * This person has not been an MEP for the complete 2009-2014 term.
- The votes cover the EU's Emissions Trading Scheme, the 2020 and 2030 greenhouse gas emission reduction targets, renewable energy policies, energy efficiency policies, the international climate negotiations, climate in development policies, international climate finance and the Mechanism for Monitoring and Reporting.

EUROPEAN POLITICAL GROUP MEMBERSHIP

ALDE Alliance
of Liberals and
Democrats for Europe
ECR European
Conservatives and

EPP European

People's Party

Conservatives and United Let Reformists Green Left IMM Non-Freedom and Members Democracy S&D Program In The Image In The Image Image

Greens/European
Free Alliance
GUE/NGL European
United Left – Nordic
Green Left
NM Non-attached
Members
S&D Progressive
Alliance of Socialists
and Democrats

Greens/EFA

ROMANIA I





RANKING OF NATIONAL POLITICAL PARTIES

based on 2009-2014 voting records on climate and energy policies

VERY GOOD



Partidul Social Democrat (PSD) S&D

GOOD



Partidul Național Liberal (PNL) ALDE 5 🛊

BAD



Partidul Democrat-Liberal (PD-L) EPP



Partidul România Mare (PRM) NM 2 🛊



Uniunea Democrată Maghiară din România (UDMR) EPP 3 🛊

RANKING OF PARLIAMENTARIANS

VERY GOOD

×

100% Adrian SEVERIN (PSD) 100% Corina CREŢU (PSD)

George Sabin CUTAŞ (PSD) 100% Minodora CLIVETI* (PSD)

Rovana PLUMB* (PSD) 100% ■ Victor BOŞTINARU (PSD) 100%

Claudiu Ciprian TĂNĂSESCU (PSD) Daciana Octavia SÂRBU (PSD)

Ioan ENCIU (PSD) 90%

Ioan Mircea PAŞCU (PSD) 90%

Vasilica Viorica DĂNCILĂ (PSD) 80%

GOOD

Cristian Silviu BUŞOI* (PNL) 71%

Cătălin Sorin IVAN (PSD) Corneliu VADIM TUDOR (PRM) 60%

Elena Oana ANTONESCU (PD-L) 60%

60% Marian-Jean MARINESCU (PD-L)

57% Ramona Nicole MĂNESCU* (PNL)

Adina-Ioana VĂLEAN (PNL) 50%

Cristian Dan PREDA (PD-L) 50% Csaba SÓGOR (UDMR) 50%

50% Dan Dumitru ZAMFIRESCU* (PRM)

losif MATULA (PD-L) 50%

Norica NICOLAI (PNL) 50%

50% Petru Constantin LUHAN (PD-L)

Renate WEBER (PNL) 50%

50% Theodor Dumitru STOLOJAN (PD-L)

BAD

Elena BĂSESCU (PD-L) 40% 40% Iuliu WINKLER (UDMR)

40% Monica Luisa MACOVEI (PD-L)

Rareş-Lucian NICULESCU (PD-L) 40% Sebastian Valentin BODU (PD-L)

Silvia-Adriana ŢICĂU (PSD)

Ovidiu Ioan SILAGHI* (PNL)

László TŐKÉS (UDMR) 30%

VERY BAD

Traian UNGUREANU (PD-L) 20% Eduard-Raul HELLVIG* (PNL)

George BECALI* (PRM)

0%

ABOUT

 \checkmark

X

× \checkmark

> Regardless of their words and promises, it is the voting behavior of Members of the European Parliament that really shows what they and their national political parties are actually supporting. As we approach the 2014 European Parliamentary elections, Climate Action Network Europe provides you with this analysis on how political parties and their parliamentarians have voted in the last five years. The scorecard helps in understanding who has supported more adequate climate action in Europe.

> The scorecards reflect how European parliamentarians have voted on ten climate and energy issues during the 2009-2014 parliamentary term.** Parliamentarians were given a point when voting in favor of more ambitious climate and energy policies, or no point if they didn't (including cases where they did not vote).

> The scores of all parliamentarians were allocated to the different national political parties they represent.

> For ease of use, all scores were labeled according to the amount of positive votes: very good (75-100%), good (50-74%), bad (25-49%), and very bad (**0**-24%).

> For more detailed information, please consult our full Scorecards Background Document and Scorecards Analysis at www.caneurope.org/ **VoteForClimateAction**

- This person has not been an MEP for the complete 2009-2014 term.
- ** The votes cover the EU's Emissions Trading Scheme, the 2020 and 2030 greenhouse gas emission reduction targets, renewable energy policies, energy efficiency policies, the international climate negotiations, climate in development policies, international climate finance and the Mechanism for Monitoring and Reporting.

EUROPEAN POLITICAL GROUP MEMBERSHIP

ALDE Alliance of Liberals and **Democrats for Europe**

ECR European Conservatives and Reformists

EFD Europe of Freedom and Democracy **EPP** European

People's Party

Greens/EFA Greens/European Free Alliance GUE/NGL European United Left - Nordic

Green Left NM Non-attached

SLOVAKIA 🔤





RANKING OF NATIONAL POLITICAL PARTIES

based on 2009-2014 voting records on climate and energy policies

VERY GOOD



SMER-Sociálna demokracia (SMER) S&D

5 🛊

GOOD



Ľudová strana - Hnutie za demokratické Slovensko (LS-HZDS) ALDE



Slovenská demokratická a kresťanská únia -Demokratická strana (SDKÚ-DS) EPP



Slovenská národná strana (SNS) EFD

1 🛊

BAD



Strana maďarskej komunity- Magyar Közösség Pártja (SMK-MKP) EPP 5 🛊

Kresťanskodemokratické hnutie (KDH) EPP

2 🛊

RANKING OF PARLIAMENTARIANS

VERY GOOD

100% Monika FLAŠÍKOVÁ BEŇOVÁ (SMER) Katarína NEVEĎALOVÁ (SMER) 90% Monika SMOLKOVÁ (SMER) 90% Vladimír MAŇKA (SMER)

×

GOOD

Boris ZALA (SMER) 70% Eduard KUKAN (SDKÚ-DS) Peter ŠŤASTNÝ (SDKÚ-DS) 60% Sergej KOZLÍK (ĽS-HZDS) 60% Alajos MÉSZÁROS (SMK-MKP) Jaroslav PAŠKA (SNS) 50%

BAD

Anna ZÁBORSKÁ (KDH) 40% **Edit BAUER** (SMK-MKP) 40% Miroslav MIKOLÁŠIK (KDH) 40%

ABOUT

 \checkmark

X

× V

Regardless of their words and promises, it is the voting behavior of Members of the European Parliament that really shows what they and their national political parties are actually supporting. As we approach the 2014 European Parliamentary elections, Climate Action Network Europe provides you with this analysis on how political parties and their parliamentarians have voted in the last five years. The scorecard helps in understanding who has supported more adequate climate action in Europe.

The scorecards reflect how European parliamentarians have voted on ten climate and energy issues during the 2009-2014 parliamentary term.** Parliamentarians were given a point when voting in favor of more ambitious climate and energy policies, or no point if they didn't (including cases where they did not vote).

The scores of all parliamentarians were allocated to the different national political parties they represent.

For ease of use, all scores were labeled according to the amount of positive votes: very good (75-100%), good (50-74%), bad (25-49%), and very bad (**0**-24%).

For more detailed information, please consult our full Scorecards Background Document and Scorecards Analysis at www.caneurope.org/ **VoteForClimateAction**

- * This person has not been an MEP for the complete 2009-2014 term.
- ** The votes cover the EU's Emissions Trading Scheme, the 2020 and 2030 greenhouse gas emission reduction targets, renewable energy policies, energy efficiency policies, the international climate negotiations, climate in development policies, international climate finance and the Mechanism for Monitoring and Reporting.

EUROPEAN POLITICAL GROUP MEMBERSHIP

of Liberals and Democrats for Europe ECR European Conservatives and Reformists EFD Europe of Freedom and Democracy

EPP European

People's Party

ALDE Alliance

Greens/EFA Greens/European Free Alliance

GUE/NGL European United Left - Nordic Green Left

NM Non-attached Members

SLOVENIA ==



RANKING OF NATIONAL POLITICAL PARTIES

based on 2009-2014 voting records on climate and energy policies

VERY GOOD



Socialni demokrati (SD) S&D 2 🛊

GOOD



ZARES-Nova Politika (ZARES) ALDE 1 🛉



Liberalna Demokracija Slovenije (LDS) ALDE



Nova Slovenija -Krščanska ljudska stranka (NSi) EPP 1 🛉



Slovenska demokratska stranka (SDS) EPP 3 🛊

RANKING OF PARLIAMENTARIANS

VERY GOOD

100% Zoran THALER* (SD) Tanja FAJON (SD) 90% Mojca KLEVA KEKUŠ* (SD)

GOOD

Ivo VAJGL (ZARES) 70% Alojz PETERLE (NSi) Jelko KACIN (LDS) Milan ZVER (SDS) 50% Romana JORDAN (SDS) 50% Zofija MAZEJ KUKOVIČ* (SDS)

ABOUT

 \checkmark

X

x V

×

Regardless of their words and promises, it is the voting behavior of Members of the European Parliament that really shows what they and their national political parties are actually supporting. As we approach the 2014 European Parliamentary elections, Climate Action Network Europe provides you with this analysis on how political parties and their parliamentarians have voted in the last five years. The scorecard helps in understanding who has supported more adequate climate action in Europe.

The scorecards reflect how European parliamentarians have voted on ten climate and energy issues during the 2009-2014 parliamentary term.** Parliamentarians were given a point when voting in favor of more ambitious climate and energy policies, or no point if they didn't (including cases where they did not vote).

The scores of all parliamentarians were allocated to the different national political parties they represent.

For ease of use, all scores were labeled according to the amount of positive votes: very good (75-100%), good (50-74%), bad (25-49%), and very bad (**0**-24%).

For more detailed information, please consult our full Scorecards Back-Document and Scorecards ground Analysis at www.caneurope.org/ **VoteForClimateAction**

- * This person has not been an MEP for the complete 2009-2014 term.
- ** The votes cover the EU's Emissions Trading Scheme, the 2020 and 2030 greenhouse gas emission reduction targets, renewable energy policies, energy efficiency policies, the international climate negotiations, climate in development policies, international climate finance and the Mechanism for Monitoring and Reporting.

EUROPEAN POLITICAL GROUP MEMBERSHIP

of Liberals and Democrats for Europe ECR European Conservatives and Reformists EFD Europe of Freedom and

ALDE Alliance

Free Alliance GUE/NGL European United Left - Nordic Green Left NM Non-attached Members Democracy S&D Progressive **EPP** European Alliance of Socialists People's Party and Democrats

Greens/EFA

Greens/European

SPAIN **E**



RANKING OF NATIONAL POLITICAL PARTIES

based on 2009-2014 voting records on climate and energy policies

VERY GOOD



Esquerra Republicana de Catalunya (ERC) Greens/EFA

1 🛊



Iniciativa per Catalunya Verds (ICV) Greens/EFA

1 🛉



Partit dels Socialistes de Catalunya (PSC) S&D



Unión, Progreso y Democracia (UPyD) NM



Partido Socialista Obrero Español (PSOE) S&D



Bloque Nacionalista Galego (BNG) Greens/EFA

GOOD



Partido Nacionalista Vasco (PNV) ALDE

EUROPEAN POLITICAL GROUP MEMBERSHIP

ALDE Alliance of Liberals and Democrats for Europe ECR European Conservatives and Reformists

EFD Europe of Freedom and Democracy

EPP European People's Party

Greens/EFA

Greens/European Free Alliance

GUE/NGL European United Left - Nordic Green Left

NM Non-attached Members

S&D Progressive Alliance of Socialists and Democrats

Izquierda Unida (IU) GUE/NGL

×

1 🛉



Convergència Democràtica de Catalunya (CDC) ALDE

BAD



Partido Popular (PP) EPP



Aralar (A) Greens/EFA



Unió Democràtica de Catalunya (UDC) EPP

RANKING OF PARLIAMENTARIANS

VERY GOOD

100% Enrique GUERRERO SALOM (PSOE) 100% José Manuel GARCÍA-MARGALLO Y MARFIL* (PP)

100% Josefa ANDRÉS BAREA (PSOE) 100% Magdalena ALVAREZ* (PSOE)

100% María IRIGOYEN PÉREZ* (PSOE)

100% Miguel Angel MARTÍNEZ MARTÍNEZ (PSOE)

100% Oriol JUNOUERAS VIES* (ERC)

100% Raimon OBIOLS (PSC)

Ramón JÁUREGUI ATONDO* (PSOE)

100% Raül ROMEVA i RUEDA (ICV)

Alejandro CERCAS (PSOE) 90%

90% Eider GARDIAZÁBAL RUBIAL (PSOE)

90% ■ Emilio MENÉNDEZ del VALLE (PSOE)

Francisco SOSA WAGNER (UPyD) 90% Inés AYALA SENDER (PSOE) 90%

Iratxe GARCÍA PÉREZ (PSOE) 90%

(PSOF)

Juan Fernando LÓPEZ AGUILAR 90%

Luis YÁÑEZ-BARNUEVO GARCÍA 90%

(PSOE)

ABOUT

 \checkmark

X

× V

Regardless of their words and promises, it is the voting behavior of Members of the European Parliament that really shows what they and their national political parties are actually supporting. As we approach the 2014 European Parliamentary elections, Climate Action Network Europe provides you with this analysis on how political parties and their parliamentarians have voted in the last five years. The scorecard helps in understanding who has supported more adequate climate action in Europe.

The scorecards reflect how European parliamentarians have voted on ten climate and energy issues during the 2009-2014 parliamentary term.** Parliamentarians were given a point when voting in favor of more ambitious climate and energy policies, or no point if they didn't (including cases where they did not vote).

The scores of all parliamentarians were allocated to the different national political parties they represent.

For ease of use, all scores were labeled according to the amount of positive votes: very good (75-100%), good (50-74%), bad (25-49%), and very bad (0-24%).

For more detailed information, please consult our full Scorecards Background Document and Scorecards Analysis at www.caneurope.org/ **VoteForClimateAction**

- This person has not been an MEP for the complete 2009-2014 term.
- ** The votes cover the EU's Emissions Trading Scheme, the 2020 and 2030 greenhouse gas emission reduction targets, renewable energy policies, energy efficiency policies, the international climate negotiations, climate in development policies, international climate finance and the Mechanism for Monitoring and Reporting.

Maria BADIA i CUTCHET (PSC)

Ricardo CORTÉS LASTRA (PSOE) 90%

Sergio GUTIÉRREZ PRIETO** (PSOE) 89%

88% Dolores GARCÍA-HIERRO CARABALLO* (PSOE)

Vicente Miguel GARCÉS RAMÓN* 88% (PSOE) (continued →)

90%





80%	Ana MIRANDA* (BNG)
80%	Andrés PERELLÓ RODRÍGUEZ (PSOE)
80%	Antolín SÁNCHEZ PRESEDO (PSOE)
80%	Antonio MASIP HIDALGO (PSOE)
80%	Carmen ROMERO LÓPEZ (PSOE)
80%	Teresa RIERA MADURELL (PSOE)

80%	Carmen ROMERO LOPEZ (PSOE)
80%	Teresa RIERA MADURELL (PSOE)
	GOOD
70%	Izaskun BILBAO BARANDICA (PNV)
70%	María MUÑIZ DE URQUIZA (PSOE)
70%	Willy MEYER (IU)
60%	Agustín DÍAZ DE MERA GARCÍA
	CONSUEGRA (PP)
60%	Luis de GRANDES PASCUAL (PP)
60%	Ramon TREMOSA i BALCELLS (CDC)
50%	Carmen FRAGA ESTÉVEZ (PP)
50%	Francisco José MILLÁN MON (PP)

50%	Gabriel MATO ADROVER (PP)
50%	■ Íñigo MÉNDEZ DE VIGO* (PP)
50%	Jaime MAYOR OREJA (PP)
50%	José Ignacio SALAFRANCA
	SÁNCHEZ-NEYRA (PP)
50%	María Auxiliadora CORREA
	ZAMORA* (PP)
50%	Rosa ESTARÀS FERRAGUT (PP
50%	Santiago FISAS AYXELA (PP)
50%	■ Teresa JIMÉNEZ-BECERRIL BA
	(PP)
50%	Verónica LOPE FONTAGNÉ (PI
	BAD
400/	Aloio VIDAL OLIADRAS (DD)

50%	Jaime MAYOR OREJA (PP)
50%	José Ignacio SALAFRANCA
	SÁNCHEZ-NEYRA (PP)
50%	María Auxiliadora CORREA
	ZAMORA* (PP)
50%	Rosa ESTARÀS FERRAGUT (PP)
50%	Santiago FISAS AYXELA (PP)
50%	Teresa JIMÉNEZ-BECERRIL BARRIO
	(PP)
50%	Verónica LOPE FONTAGNÉ (PP)
	BAD
40%	Alejo VIDAL-QUADRAS (PP)
40%	Carlos José ITURGAIZ ANGULO (PP)
40%	Cristina GUTIÉRREZ-CORTINES (PP)

40%	Esther HERRANZ GARCÍA (PP)
40%	Pablo ARIAS ECHEVERRÍA (PP)
40%	Pablo ZALBA BIDEGAIN (PP)
40%	Pilar AYUSO (PP)
40%	Salvador GARRIGA POLLEDO (PP)
38%	Juan Andrés NARANJO ESCOBAR*
	(PP)
33%	■ Iñaki IRAZABALBEITIA FERNÁNDEZ*
	(A)
30%	Pilar del CASTILLO VERA (PP)
25%	Salvador SEDÓ i ALABART* (UDC)
	VERY BAD
20%	■ Antonio LÓPEZ-ISTÚRIZ WHITE (PP)
13%	Fva ORTIZ VII FLL A* (PP)

×

× V

×

SWEDEN =



RANKING OF NATIONAL POLITICAL PARTIES

based on 2009-2014 voting records on climate and energy policies

VERY GOOD



Miljöpartiet de gröna (MPG) Greens/EFA



Centerpartiet (CP) ALDE

1 🛉



Piratpartiet (PP) Greens/EFA

2 🛊



Arbetarepartiet-Socialdemokraterna (AP-SD) S&D

6 🛊

Folkpartiet liberalerna (FPL) ALDE

3 🛊

GOOD



Kristdemokraterna (KD) EPP

1 🛊



Moderata Samlingspartiet



ALDE Alliance

(MSP) EPP 4 🛊

Vänsterpartiet (VP) GUE/NGL 1 🛉

RANKING OF PARLIAMENTARIANS

VERY GOOD

×

100% Carl SCHLYTER (MPG) 100% Christian ENGSTRÖM (PP) 100% Isabella LÖVIN (MPG) 100% Lena EK* (CP) 100% Marita ULVSKOG (AP-SD) Olle LUDVIGSSON (AP-SD) Jens NILSSON* (AP-SD)

Kent JOHANSSON* (CP) Anna HEDH (AP-SD) 80% Cecilia WIKSTRÖM (FPL) 80%

Göran FÄRM (AP-SD) 80% Marit PAULSEN (FPL)

Olle SCHMIDT (FPL)

GOOD

Alf SVENSSON (KD) 70%

Amelia ANDERSDOTTER* (PP) 63%

60% Anna IBRISAGIC (MSP) 56%

Mikael GUSTAFSSON* (VP) Asa WESTLUND (AP-SD) 50%

Christofer FJELLNER (MSP) 50%

■ Gunnar HÖKMARK (MSP)

80%

Anna Maria CORAZZA BILDT (MSP) 40%

VERY BAD

■ Eva-Britt SVENSSON* (VP) 0%

ABOUT

 \checkmark

X

× V

Regardless of their words and promises, it is the voting behavior of Members of the European Parliament that really shows what they and their national political parties are actually supporting. As we approach the 2014 European Parliamentary elections, Climate Action Network Europe provides you with this analysis on how political parties and their parliamentarians have voted in the last five years. The scorecard helps in understanding who has supported more adequate climate action in Europe.

The scorecards reflect how European parliamentarians have voted on ten climate and energy issues during the 2009-2014 parliamentary term.** Parliamentarians were given a point when voting in favor of more ambitious climate and energy policies, or no point if they didn't (including cases where they did not vote).

The scores of all parliamentarians were allocated to the different national political parties they represent.

For ease of use, all scores were labeled according to the amount of positive votes: very good (75-100%), good (50-74%), bad (25-49%), and very bad (**0**-24%).

For more detailed information, please consult our full Scorecards Background Document and Scorecards Analysis at www.caneurope.org/ **VoteForClimateAction**

- * This person has not been an MEP for the complete 2009-2014 term.
- ** The votes cover the EU's Emissions Trading Scheme, the 2020 and 2030 greenhouse gas emission reduction targets, renewable energy policies, energy efficiency policies, the international climate negotiations, climate in development policies, international climate finance and the Mechanism for Monitoring and Reporting.

EUROPEAN POLITICAL GROUP MEMBERSHIP

of Liberals and Democrats for Europe ECR European Conservatives and Reformists EFD Europe of Freedom and

Democracy

EPP European

People's Party

Greens/EFA

Greens/European Free Alliance

GUE/NGL European United Left - Nordic Green Left

NM Non-attached Members

UNITED KINGDOM





RANKING OF NATIONAL POLITICAL PARTIES

based on 2009-2014 voting records on climate and energy policies

VERY GOOD



Plaid Cymru -**Party of Wales** (PC-PoW) Greens/EFA

1 🛊



Labour Party (LP) S&D 13 🛉



Green Party (GP) Greens/EFA 2 🛊



Sinn Féin (SF) GUE/NGL 1 🛉

GOOD



Liberal Democrats Party (LDP) ALDE 12 🛉



Democracy

EPP European

People's Party

Scottish National Party (SNP) Greens/EFA

BAD



VERY BAD

×



Ulster Conservatives and Unionists-New Force (UCU-NF) ECR



British National Party (BNP) NM 1 🛉

United Kingdom Independence Party (UKIP) EFD

11 🛊



We Demand a Referendum (WDR) NM



Democratic Unionist Party (Northern Ireland) (DUP NI) NM

1 🛉



RANKING OF

British Democratic Party (BDP) NM

1 🛉

ABOUT

 \checkmark

X

× V

Regardless of their words and promises, it is the voting behavior of Members of the European Parliament that really shows what they and their national political parties are actually supporting. As we approach the 2014 European Parliamentary elections, Climate Action Network Europe provides you with this analysis on how political parties and their parliamentarians have voted in the last five years. The scorecard helps in understanding who has supported more adequate climate action in Europe.

The scorecards reflect how European parliamentarians have voted on ten climate and energy issues during the 2009-2014 parliamentary term.** Parliamentarians were given a point when voting in favor of more ambitious climate and energy policies, or no point if they didn't (including cases where they did not vote).

The scores of all parliamentarians were allocated to the different national political parties they represent.

For ease of use, all scores were labeled according to the amount of positive votes: very good (75-100%), good (50-74%), bad (25-49%), and very bad (0-24%).

For more detailed information, please consult our full Scorecards Back-Document and Scorecards ground Analysis at www.caneurope.org/ **VoteForClimateAction**

- * This person has not been an MEP for the complete 2009-2014 term.
- ** The votes cover the EU's Emissions Trading Scheme, the 2020 and 2030 greenhouse gas emission reduction targets, renewable energy policies, energy efficiency policies, the international climate negotiations, climate in development policies, international climate finance and the Mechanism for Monitoring and Reporting.

VERY GOOD

PARLIAMENTARIANS

ALDE Alliance Greens/EFA of Liberals and Greens/European Free Alliance Democrats for Europe GUE/NGL European ECR European United Left - Nordic Conservatives and Reformists Green Left EFD Europe of NM Non-attached Freedom and Members

S&D Progressive

and Democrats

Alliance of Socialists

EUROPEAN POLITICAL GROUP MEMBERSHIP

100% Brian SIMPSON (LP) **100%** Caroline LUCAS* (GP) 100% David MARTIN (LP) 100% Derek VAUGHAN (LP) 100% Martina ANDERSON* (SF) 100% Peter SKINNER (LP) 90% Andrew DUFF (LDP) Catherine STIHLER (LP) 90% Jill EVANS (PC-PoW) 90% Linda McAVAN (LP)

Mary HONEYBALL (LP) Richard HOWITT (LP) 90% Keith TAYLOR* (GP) 89% Arlene McCARTHY (LP) 80% 80% Baroness Sarah LUDFORD (LDP) Catherine BEARDER (LDP) 80% Claude MORAES (LP) 80% (continued →)


UNITED KINGDOM



×

x V

×



70%	Stephen HUGHES (LP)
60%	Alyn SMITH (SNP)
60%	Bill NEWTON DUNN (LDP
60%	Chris DAVIES (LDP)
60%	George LYON (LDP)
60%	Ian HUDGHTON (SNP)
50%	Diana WALLIS* (LDP)
50%	Elizabeth LYNNE* (LDP)
50%	Michael CASHMAN (LP)

BAD 33% Bairbre de BRÚN* (SF) 36% Ashley FOX (CP)

30%	Charles TANNOCK (CP)
30%	Emma McCLARKIN (CP)
30%	Geoffrey VAN ORDEN (CP)
30%	James ELLES (CP)
30%	Julie GIRLING (CP)
30%	Kay SWINBURNE (CP)
30%	Malcolm HARBOUR (CP)
30%	Marina YANNAKOUDAKIS (CP)
30%	Martin CALLANAN (CP)
30%	Philip BRADBOURN (CP)
30%	Robert STURDY (CP)
30%	Struan STEVENSON (CP)
30%	Timothy KIRKHOPE (CP)
30%	Vicky FORD (CP)
25%	Anthea McINTYRE* (CP)
25%	Phil BENNION* (LDP)
	VERY BAD

	VERY BAD
20%	Daniel HANNAN (CP)
20%	Giles CHICHESTER (CP)
20%	Jacqueline FOSTER (CP)
20%	James NICHOLSON (UCU-NF)

20%	Nirj DEVA (CP)
20%	Richard ASHWORTH (CP)
20%	Sajjad KARIM (CP)
20%	Sir Robert ATKINS (CP)
20%	Syed KAMALL (CP)
10%	Marta ANDREASEN (CP)
10%	Nick GRIFFIN (BNP)
0%	Andrew Henry William BRONS (BDP)
0%	David CAMPBELL BANNERMAN (CP)
0%	Derek Roland CLARK (UKIP)
0%	Diane DODDS (DUP NI)
0%	Gerard BATTEN (UKIP)
0%	Godfrey BLOOM (UKIP)
0%	John BUFTON (UKIP)
0%	John Stuart AGNEW (UKIP)
0%	Mike NATTRASS (UKIP)
0%	Nicole SINCLAIRE (WDR)
0%	Nigel FARAGE (UKIP)
0%	Paul NUTTALL (UKIP)
0%	Roger HELMER (UKIP)
0%	Trevor COLMAN (UKIP)
0%	William (The Earl of) DARTMOUTH
	(UKIP)

×

32 **†**



RANKING OF EUROPEAN POLITICAL GROUPS

POLITICAL GROUPS

(GUE/NGL)


Alliance of Liberals and **Democrats for Europe**

35 **†**

(ALDE)

85 🛊

based on 2009-2014 voting records on climate and energy policies



ABOUT

 \checkmark

X

× V

Regardless of their words and promises, it is the voting behavior of Members of the European Parliament that really shows what they and their national political parties are actually supporting. As we approach the 2014 European Parliamentary elections, Climate Action Network Europe provides you with this analysis on how political parties and their parliamentarians have voted in the last five years. The scorecard helps in understanding who has supported more adequate climate action in Europe.

The scorecards reflect how European parliamentarians have voted on ten climate and energy issues during the 2009-2014 parliamentary term.** Parliamentarians were given a point when voting in favor of more ambitious climate and energy policies, or no point if they didn't (including cases where they did not vote).

The scores of all parliamentarians were allocated to the different national political parties they represent.

For ease of use, all scores were labeled according to the amount of positive votes: very good (75-100%), good (50-74%), bad (25-49%), and very bad (**0**-24%).

For more detailed information, please consult our full Scorecards Back-Document and Scorecards ground Analysis at www.caneurope.org/ **VoteForClimateAction**

- * This person has not been an MEP for the complete 2009-2014 term.
- ** The votes cover the EU's Emissions Trading Scheme, the 2020 and 2030 greenhouse gas emission reduction targets, renewable energy policies, energy efficiency policies, the international climate negotiations, climate in development policies, international climate finance and the Mechanism for Monitoring and Reporting.